

Merton Council

Cabinet

16 January 2017

Second Supplementary agenda

9 Business Plan 2017-21

1 - 68

This page is intentionally left blank

CABINET

Date: 16 January 2017

Subject: Draft Business Plan 2017-21

Lead officer: Caroline Holland – Director of Corporate Services

Lead member: Councillor Mark Allison – Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member
for Finance

Urgent report:

Reason for urgency: The chairman has approved the submission of this report as a matter of urgency as it provides the latest available information on the Business Plan and Budget 2017/18 and requires consideration of issues relating to the Budget process and Medium Term Financial Strategy 2017-2021. It is important that this consideration is not delayed in order that the Council can work towards a balanced budget at its meeting on 1 March 2017 and set a Council Tax as appropriate for 2017/18.

Recommendations:

1. That Cabinet notes the financial information arising from the Provisional Settlement 2017/18 and that the financial implications will be incorporated into the draft MTFS 2017-21 and draft capital programme 2017-21.
 2. That Cabinet notes the latest update of the draft MTFS for 2017 – 21
-

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1 This report provides an update to Cabinet on the Business Planning process for 2017-21 and in particular on the current position relating to the revenue budget for 2017/18, and the draft MTFS 2017-21.
- 1.2 It also sets out the latest information and analysis of the Local Government Finance Settlement 2017/18 which was published on 15 December 2016 and summarises the implications for Merton's budget and MTFS.

2. DETAILS

2.1 Introduction

- 2.1.1 The report provides a general update on all the latest information relating to the Business Planning process for 2017-21, including the Provisional Local Government Settlement 2017/18.
- 2.1.2 A review of assumptions in the MTFS was undertaken and reported to Cabinet on 12 December 2016. On 28 December 2016 a savings proposals consultation pack of all details previously presented to Cabinet at its meetings was sent to all Members. This can be brought to all Scrutiny and Cabinet

meetings from 10 January 2017 onwards and to Budget Council. This is the same procedure as last year which was an improvement for both councillors and officers – more cost effective and more manageable for councillors since it will ensure that only one version of those documents is available so referring to page numbers at meetings will be easier. It will considerably reduce printing costs and reduce the amount of printing that needs to take place immediately prior to Budget Council.

The pack includes:

- Savings proposals
- Growth proposals
- Equality impact assessment for proposals where appropriate
- Service plans (these will also be printed in A3 to lay round at scrutiny meetings)
- Budget Summaries for each department
- Council Tax and Council spending consultation results

2.1.3 The total draft amendments to previously agreed savings, new savings proposals and new growth proposals agreed by Cabinet previously and the remaining gap on the MTFs as reported to Cabinet on 12 December 2016 is summarised in the following table:-

	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
Amendment to Savings previously agreed	541	(297)	(451)	0
New Savings proposals	0	0	(2,066)	0
New Growth Proposals	11,927	974	(2,506)	500
Net (Savings)/Growth	12,468	677	(5,023)	500
Cumulative Net (Savings)/Growth	12,468	13,145	8,122	8,622
Gap remaining (cumulative)	1,616	14,325	15,107	21,450

2.2 LOCAL GOVERNMENT FINANCE SETTLEMENT 2017/18

2.2.1 Details of the provisional Local Government Settlement were published on 15 December 2016.

2.2.2 This section sets out the main details set out in the provisional Settlement and assesses the implications for Merton's finances as set out in the Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS).

2.2.3 The provisional Settlement outlined provisional core funding allocations (Settlement Funding Assessment (SFA) for local authorities for the three year period 2017-18 to 2019-20. It confirmed the Four year funding offer to local authorities that had accepted the offer and published an Efficiency Plan.

2.2.4 The Settlement Funding Assessment is the total of Revenue Support Grant (RSG) and Baseline Funding (BF) from Business Rates.

	2016/17 Adjusted	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	Real terms change '16/17 to '19/20
Merton (£m)	55.5	48.5	44.7	41.0	
Annual % Change	-	-12.5%	-7.9%	-8.4%	
Cumulative % change	-	-12.5%	-19.4%	-26.2%	-30.3%
England (£m)	18,601.5	16,632.4	15,598.8	14,584.3	
Annual % Change	-	-10.6%	-6.2%	-6.5%	
Cumulative % change	-	-10.6%	-16.1%	-21.6%	-25.7%
London Boroughs (£m)	3,398.5	3,078.3	2,905.6	2,732.7	
Annual % Change	-	-9.4%	-5.6%	-6.0%	
Cumulative % change	-	-9.4%	-14.5%	-19.6%	-23.8%

2.2.5 Core Spending Power

The only change to the definition of Core Spending Power in 2017/18 is the addition of a new Adult Social Care Support Grant in 2017-18.

The table below shows the breakdown of 'Core Spending Power' by funding element Merton's. This is the Government's calculation and the Council Tax figures assume growth in the Council Tax Base at the average annual growth between 2013-14 and 2016-17 throughout the period to 2019-20. It also assumes that Council Tax Band D will increase in line with the 2% referendum limit for each year up to 2019-20. In addition, the Government assume potential additional council tax will be available from Adult Social Care flexibility. For 2016-17 this is based on actual take up (i.e. 0% for Merton) but 2% for years 2017-18 to 2019-20 is assumed.

At the England level across the four years, spending power will increase by £1.1 billion from £43.6 billion to £44.7 billion (2.6% cash increase). Within this, SFA will reduce by £4.0 billion (22%) and New Homes Bonus (NHB) by £0.6 billion (39%), which is largely offset by the government's estimate of council tax increasing by £4.4 billion (19%). For Merton, the analysis is:-

	Baseline	Provisional	Illustrative	Illustrative	Change (16-17 to 19/20)	
	2016-17	2017-18	2018/19	2019/20	£m	%
<u>Council Tax</u>						
- Council Tax Requirement	78.920	82.203	85.622	89.184	10.264	13.01
- Assumed revenue from ASC precept	0.000	1.612	3.391	5.350	5.350	N/A
Sub-total: Council Tax	78.920	83.815	89.013	94.534	15.614	19.78
<u>Settlement Funding Assessment</u>						
Baseline Funding (NNDR)	32.911	33.582	34.663	35.896	2.985	9.07
Revenue Support Grant	22.589	14.963	10.071	5.076	(17.513)	(77.53)
Sub-total: SFA	55.500	48.545	44.734	40.972	(14.528)	(26.17)
Improved Better Care Fund	0.000	0.000	1.408	3.061	3.061	N/A
New Homes Bonus	4.734	4.150	3.110	2.984	(1.750)	(36.97)
Transition Grant	0.567	0.557	0.000	0.000	(0.567)	(100.00)
The 2017-18 Adult Social Care Support Grant	0.000	0.751	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Core Spending Power	139.721	137.817	138.265	141.551	1.830	1.310
Change over Spending Review period (i.e. 2015/16 to 2019/20)					(4.222)	(2.90)

2.2.6 With respect to estimates of Business Rates, the NNDR (Baseline Funding) figures in the Core Spending calculation in the Settlement are derived from the 2013/14 baseline figure and uprated by the September change in RPI. Merton will remain a top-up authority, as are the majority of other London Boroughs, although this position has changed for some London boroughs. This means that on top of its 30% share of the Business Rates yield, Merton receives a top-up payment to bring it up to the Baseline funding level. The top-up is also increased in line with the small business non-domestic rating multiplier each year and this was originally planned to continue until the Business Rates system is reset in 2020 but the Government have now indicated that they propose to use them to adjust for new burdens, and changes in needs assessments and changes arising from revaluation.

The figures in the Settlement for Merton are:-

	2015/16 £m	2016/17 £m	2017/18 £m	2018/19 £m	2019/20 £m
Business Rates Baseline	24.8	25.0	24.5	25.3	26.2
Top-Up	7.8	7.9	9.1	9.4	9.7
Baseline Funding	32.6	32.9	33.6	34.7	35.9

This can be a bit misleading when assessing the resources available to the authority because the funding for Business Rates is based on the forecast included in the Council's NNDR1 return (which is required to be submitted by 31 January 2017).

This can be seen by reference to the Council's share of Business Rates based on the NNDR1 returns over the past three years since Business Rates Retention began

	2013/14 £m	2014/15 £m	2015/16 £m	2016/17 £m
NNDR1 Share (30%)	24.5	25.6	25.8	26.3
Top-Up	7.5	7.7	7.8	7.9
Section 31 payments	0	1.1	1.2	0.8
Total Business Rates inc. in Budget	32.0	34.4	34.8	35.0

Therefore, projections of the Council's share of Business Rates funding over the next four years are starting from a higher level than the Baseline Funding projections in the Provisional Settlement.

Business Rates	2017/18 £'000	2018/19 £'000	2019/20 £'000
Forecast included in Settlement	(24,500)	(25,288)	(26,188)
Forecast in MTFs based on NNDR1	(26,785)	(27,253)	(28,134)
Additional NNDR assumed in MTFs	(2,285)	(1,965)	(1,946)

2.2.7 Over the MTFs period, a high level of uncertainty will remain with respect to the NNDR projections due to the effects of the revaluation and the move to

100% rates retention. The figures included in the MTFS will be reviewed once the NNDR1 for 2017/18, which is due to be returned to the DCLG on 31 January, has been completed and Members will be updated in the report to Cabinet in January or February 2017. The later years projections also do not take into consideration any impact of Crossrail 2 at this stage.

2.2.8 **Special and specific grants**

The provisional settlement provided details of a number of special and specific grants (included within the Core Spending Power calculation): the Improved Better Care Fund; New Homes Bonus ; Rural Services Delivery Grant (not applicable to Merton), Transition Grant; and 2017-18 Adult Social Care Support Grant.

Improved Better Care Fund

There is no change to the figures set out in the 2016-17 Settlement. The allocations take into account Council's ability to raise Social Care precept and the allocation methodology, through a separate grant to local government, benefits those councils who benefit less from the additional council tax flexibility for social care. However, the settlement does not confirm how the new flexibility to raise the Social Care Precept will impact the calculation of future year's Improved Better Care Fund allocations. The core spending power projections for this grant assume the original 2% for the social care precept each year to determine allocations.

Improved Better Care Fund	2017-18 £m	2018-19 £m	2019-20 £m	Total £m
Merton	-	1.408	3.061	4.469

New Homes Bonus

The Government has confirmed that it will implement its proposal to reduce future allocations to Councils from 6 years to 5 years in 2017/18 and then to 4 years from 2018/19. The Government has decided to introduce an initial baseline of 0.4% below which the bonus will not be paid to "focus on local authorities demonstrating a stronger than average commitment to growth." The 0.4% baseline is expected to reduce the income of councils in receipt of the New Homes Bonus by £241 million in 2017/18 in comparison to indicative figures released in February 2016. This money will be used to fund the Social Care Support Grant. The Government will retain the option of making adjustments to the baseline in future years in the event of a significant increase in housing growth.

The Government has decided to delay implementing proposals to withhold payments for areas without a local plan in 2017-18. However, it will revisit the case for withholding New Homes Bonus from 2018-19 from local authorities that are deemed not to be planning effectively, making positive decisions on planning applications and delivering housing growth. To encourage more effective local planning the Government will also consider withholding payments for homes that are built following an appeal.

	2017-18 £m	2018-19 £m	2019-20 £m	Total £m
New Homes Bonus				
Merton	4.150	3.110	2.984	10.244

Transition Grant

Transition Grant was announced in the final 2016/17 Settlement and is being provided to authorities to ease the change from a system based on central government grant to one in which local sources determine a council's revenue. The 2016/17 local government finance settlement allocated Revenue Support Grant by looking at the main resources available to councils, ensuring that councils delivering the same set of services receive the same percentage change in funding for those sets of services. As a result of this change, authorities with relatively more income from council tax and business rates received less revenue support grant in total. The transition grant compensates authorities who are estimated to have lost out.

	2017-18 £m	2018-19 £m	2019-20 £m	Total £m
Transition Grant				
Merton	0.557	0.000	0.000	0.557

2017-18 Adult Social Care Support Grant

This is a one-off grant that distributes the £241.1 million New Homes Bonus "0.4% Baseline cut off" in proportion to the adult social care relative needs formula from 2013-14 so that all authorities with responsibility for social care receive a share of this funding. Merton's share of the national total is £0.751m.

	2017-18 £m	2018-19 £m	2019-20 £m	Total £m
2017-18 Adult Social Care Support Grant				
Merton	0.751	0.000	0.000	0.751

2.2.9 School Funding Announcement 2017/18

The School Revenue Funding Settlement: 2016 to 2017 was published on 20 December 2016, confirming details of the Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG), Education Services Grant (ESG) and pupil premium.

	Education DSG 2017-18	ESG 2017-18
Merton (£m)	163.1	0.696

The Education Services Grant has reduced from £2.360m in 2016/17 to £0.696m in 2017/18, a reduction of £1.664m (71%).

It was previously reported to Cabinet that in the Spending Review 2015, the Government announced a national reduction in Education Services Grant

(ESG) and that the General Funding Rate will be abolished completely from 2017/18. Merton's ESG reduced by £0.234m from £2.594m in 2015/16 to £2.360m in 2016/17 and the further 71% cut in 2017/18 is a significant loss of grant. As reported, the general funding rate will not be replaced by an alternative – the intention from DfE seems to be to rely on local authorities to top-slice DSG for central functions to cover the funding gap, which for Merton is already fully allocated, and could therefore impact on the General Fund if alternatives cannot be found.

On 14th December 2016, the Department for Education published the second round of its consultation into school funding reform. Alongside confirmation of the factors and weightings that will be included in a new national funding formula for schools and high needs from 2018/19, illustrative allocations were published at a local authority level and individual school level. More detailed analysis of the figures and the implications for Merton is currently being undertaken and will be reported to the February Cabinet.

There will be a more detailed update on Schools funding in the February Cabinet report when further details are known.

3. Public Health Grant 2017/18

- 3.1 Alongside the settlement on 18 December 2016, the government published the Public Health Grant allocations for 2017-18. These have not changed from the indicative figures published at last year's settlement for 2017-18. The England total is £3.3 billion (down by 7.6% from £3.4 billion in 2016-17), and London boroughs will receive £665 million (also down by 7.6% from £682 million).

Public Health Grant	2017-18 £m	2018-19 £m	2019-20 £m	Total £m
Merton	10.727	0.000	0.000	10.727

The Autumn Statement 2016 confirmed that local authorities funding for public health would be reduced by an average of 3.9% in real terms per annum over the five years to 2020. This equates to 9.6% in cash terms over the same period. The grant in 2017/18 will be ring-fenced for use on public health functions exclusively for all ages.

4. Council Tax Increases and Adult Social Care Precept

- 4.1 The Provisional Local Government Finance Settlement 2017/18 contains the following principles which will determine whether local authorities have to have a referendum in order to increase their council tax precepts by more than the Government guidelines set:-
- A core principle of a 2% Council Tax limit for that element of the authority's Council Tax increase that will not be hypothecated for Adult Social Care

- An increase to the flexibility offered on the use of the Adult Social Care Precept. In recognition of the particular pressures on adult social care services, especially in the next two years, social care authorities will now be able to introduce the rise sooner. They will have the ability to increase by up to 3% in 2017/18 or 2018/19 but still cannot exceed 6% in total over the three year period.
- To ensure that Councils are using income from the precept for adult social care, Councils will be required to publish a description of their plans, including changing levels of spend on adult social care and other services. This must be signed off by the Chief Financial Officer (Section 151 officer).
- Councils wishing to use the extra freedom to raise their precept by 3% instead of 2% in 2017/18 must also show how they plan to use this extra money to improve social care.
- The Department for Communities and Local Government will be writing to adult social care authorities in the near future with further details on the conditions of the scheme.

5. GLA PRECEPT

- 5.1 On 21 December 2016, the Mayor of London published his draft revenue budget and capital spending plan for 2017-18 for consultation. This includes his draft budget proposals for the GLA (Mayor and Assembly), the Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime (MOPAC), the London Fire and Emergency Planning Authority (LFEPA), Transport for London (TfL), the London Legacy Development Corporation (LLDC) and the new Old Oak and Park Royal Development Corporation (OPDC).
- 5.2 The consultation budget proposes an increase in the Mayor's Band D council tax precept of £4.02 (1.5 percent) from £276.00 to £280.02 in 2017-18 for council taxpayers in the 32 London boroughs.
- 5.3 The Mayor's draft budget is expected to be considered by the London Assembly on 25 January 2017. The final draft budget is scheduled to be published by 10 February 2017 and will be considered by the Assembly on Monday 22 February 2017 following which the Mayor will confirm formally the final precept and GLA group budget for 2017-18.

6. DRAFT CAPITAL PROGRAMME

- 6.1 There will be an update to the Capital Programme 2017-21 along with the Treasury Management Strategy in February 2017. A draft Treasury Management Strategy is attached as Appendix 2.

7. GENERAL FUND BALANCES AND RESERVES

- 7.1 The General Fund balance can be seen as an authority's working balance. In considering the budget plans for the medium term, it is also necessary to give

some attention to the level of this working balance. In coming to this decision a number of issues should be considered.

These include:

- (a) the retention of working balances to cushion cash flow variations and to avoid increased borrowing costs;
- (b) the retention of sums to provide against inflation and pay awards being in excess of the assumptions made within the budget;
- (c) the retention of sums to provide for contingent liabilities; or
- (d) to meet unforeseen events

7.2 In taking a decision on the level of balances, it is important to take into consideration current and future budget pressures and recognise that in order to set a balanced budget over the next four years there is a need for significant net reductions in the budget which inevitably will mean that there is very little room for manoeuvre in determining the level of balances.

7.3 Merton's reserves and balances as at 31 March 2016 and forecast for 2016/17 are summarised in the following table:-

based on Month 8 monitoring	Balance at 31/03 2016	Forecast balance at 31/03/17
	£000	£000
Balances held by schools	10,504	10,504
General Fund balances	15,151	12,520
Earmarked Reserves	41,690	36,239
Total	67,345	59,263

7.4 The movement and planned use of reserves, both revenue and capital, over the MTFS period is currently being reviewed and there will be a full update to Cabinet in February.

8. SUMMARY

8.1 Following the changes discussed in this report, mainly the changes arising from the Provisional Local Government Finance Settlement, the gap in the MTFS (Appendix 1) has changed to the following:-

	2017/18 £'000	2018/19 £'000	2019/20 £'000	2020/21 £'000
Gap remaining (cumulative)	1,114	13,134	13,827	20,753

9. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN OR PROPOSED

- 9.1 There will be extensive consultation as the business plan process develops. This will include the Overview and Scrutiny panels and Commission, the Financial Monitoring Task Group, business ratepayers and all other relevant parties. The consultation meeting with Business Ratepayers is arranged for 7 February 2017.
- 9.2 Feedback on scrutiny of the Business Plan proposals will be provided by the Overview and Scrutiny Commission on 26 January 2017.

10. TIMETABLE

- 10.1 The business planning timetable for 2017/18 has been reported to and agreed by Cabinet previously.

11. FINANCIAL, RESOURCE AND PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS

- 11.1 All relevant implications have been addressed in the report.

12. LEGAL AND STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

- 12.1 All relevant implications have been addressed in the report.

13. HUMAN RIGHTS, EQUALITIES AND COMMUNITY COHESION IMPLICATIONS

- 13.1 Not applicable

14. CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

- 14.1 Not applicable

15. RISK MANAGEMENT AND HEALTH AND SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

- 15.1 Not applicable

APPENDICES – THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE TO BE PUBLISHED WITH THIS REPORT AND FORM PART OF THE REPORT

Appendix 1	Medium Term Financial Strategy - Update
Appendix 2	Draft Treasury Management Strategy

BACKGROUND PAPERS

Budget files held in the Corporate Services department.

REPORT AUTHOR

Name: Paul Dale

Tel: 020 8545 3458

- email: paul.dale@merton.gov.uk

DRAFT MTFS 2017-21:				
	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21
	£000	£000	£000	£000
Departmental Base Budget 2016/17	139,982	139,982	139,982	139,982
Inflation (Pay, Prices)	3,184	6,368	9,553	12,737
Autoenrolment/Nat. ins changes	857	1,172	1,172	1,172
FYE – Previous Years Savings	(9,429)	(15,173)	(15,173)	(15,173)
Amendments to previously agreed savings	541	244	(207)	(207)
Change in Net Appropriations to/(from) Reserves	(1,158)	(2,278)	(2,013)	(1,871)
Taxi card/Concessionary Fares	450	901	1,351	1,801
Change in depreciation/Impairment (Contra Other Corporate items)	4,681	4,681	4,681	4,681
Growth	11,927	12,901	10,395	10,895
Other	443	504	570	635
Re-Priced Departmental Budget	151,478	149,303	150,310	154,651
Treasury/Capital financing	12,543	11,146	12,403	12,699
Pensions	4,592	4,799	5,015	5,015
Other Corporate items	(18,223)	(17,864)	(18,206)	(18,190)
Levies	628	628	628	628
Sub-total: Corporate provisions	(460)	(1,291)	(160)	152
Sub-total: Repriced Departmental Budget + Corporate Provisions	151,018	148,012	150,150	154,803
Savings/Income Proposals 2017/18	0	0	(2,066)	(2,066)
Sub-total	151,018	148,012	148,084	152,737
Appropriation to/from departmental reserves	(843)	277	12	(130)
Appropriation to/from Balancing the Budget Reserve	(8,259)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUIREMENT	141,916	148,288	148,097	152,608
Funded by:				
Revenue Support Grant	(15,520)	(10,071)	(5,076)	0
Business Rates (inc. Section 31 grant)	(35,211)	(36,628)	(37,438)	(37,625)
Adult Social Care Support Grant 2017/18	(751)	0	0	0
PFI Grant	(4,797)	(4,797)	(4,797)	(4,797)
New Homes Bonus	(4,150)	(3,110)	(2,984)	(2,000)
Council Tax inc. WPC	(80,150)	(80,549)	(83,974)	(87,432)
Collection Fund – (Surplus)/Deficit	(224)	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDING	(140,803)	(135,155)	(134,269)	(131,855)
GAP including Use of Reserves (Cumulative)	1,114	13,134	13,827	20,753
Potential Loss of Better Care Funding	2,100	2,100		

LONDON BOROUGH OF MERTON TREASURY MANAGEMENT POLICY STATEMENT

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

London Borough of Merton have adopted the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA) definition of Treasury Management, which is:

“The management of the local authority’s investments and cash flows, its banking, money market and capital market transactions; the effective control of the risks associated with those activities; and the pursuit of optimum performance consistent with those risks.”

The Council is required to update and approve its policy framework and strategy for treasury management, annually, to reflect the changing market environment, regulation, and the Council’s financial position. The key issues and decisions are:

- a) To set the Council’s Prudential Indicators for 2017/18 to 2019/20
- b) Approve the Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) policy for 2017/18; and
- c) To agree the Treasury Management Strategy for 2017/18. This will include the annual investment strategy, containing the parameters of how the investments are to be managed.

1.2 Statutory Requirement

The Local Government Act 2003 (the Act) as amended and supporting regulations, require the Council to ‘have regard to’

- (a) such guidance as the Secretary of State may issue; and
- (b) such other guidance as the Secretary of State may by regulations specify for the purposes of this provision

<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2003/26/section/15>

The Guidance requires the Council to set out its treasury strategy for borrowing and to prepare an Annual Investment Strategy. The Council has adopted CIPFA’s revised Code of Practice on Treasury Management.

1.3 Balanced Budget Requirement

Section 33 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 requires the Council to set a balanced budget. This means that cash raised during the year will meet cash expenditure. Part of the treasury management function is to ensure that this cash flow is adequately planned, with cash being available when it is needed. Cash yet to be used are invested in low risk and good credit quality counterparties or instruments with the consideration first for security, liquidity and yield.

The other main function of treasury management is the funding of the Council's capital plans. These capital plans provide a guide to the long or short-term borrowing need of the Council, essentially the longer term cashflow planning, to ensure that the Council can meet its capital spending obligations. The management of longer term cash may involve arranging long or short dated loans, or using longer term cashflow surpluses. Subject to S151 Officer's approval, any debt previously drawn may be restructured or repaid to meet the Council's risk or cost objectives.

1.4 Treasury Management Strategy for 2017/18

The strategy for 2017/18 covers two main areas:

Capital Issues

- To determine the Council's capital plans and prudential indicators for 2017/18 to 2019/20;
- To approve the Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) policy for 2017/18.

The LG Act 2003 require local authorities to set an affordable borrowing limit (<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2003/26/section/3>).

Treasury Management Issues

- To agree the Council's treasury management strategy for 2017/18
 - current treasury position as at 30 November 2016;
 - treasury indicators which limit the treasury risk and activities of the Council;
 - prospects for interest rates;
 - borrowing strategy;
 - policy on borrowing in advance of need;
 - debt rescheduling and early repayment of debt review;
 - Annual Investment Strategy and alternative investment instruments (Policy on new lending and borrowing instruments);
 - creditworthiness policy;
 - Treasury Management Practices (**Appendix 5**);and
 - cash flow policy

These elements cover the requirements of the Local Government Act 2003, the CIPFA Prudential Code, the Communities and Local Government (CLG) MRP Guidance, the CIPFA Treasury Management Code and the CLG Investment Guidance.

2. CURRENT TREASURY POSITION

2.1 Use of the Council's Resources and the Investment Position

The application of resources (capital receipts and reserves etc.) to either finance capital expenditure or other budget decisions to support the revenue budget will have an ongoing impact on investments unless resources are supplemented each year from new sources, for example, asset sales.

The table below shows the position as at 30 November 2016.

Year End Resources	2015/16 Actual £'000	30 November 2016 Actual £'000	31 March 2016/17 Estimate £'000	31 March 2017/18 Estimate £'000
Investments	85,400	107,200	79,600	72,200
Interest on investments	1,140	451	797	607
Borrowing				
Long-term Borrowing	116,976	116,976	116,976	113,010
Short-term Borrowing				
Total External Debt	116,976	116,976	116,976	113,010
Interest on External Debt				
Long-term	6,686	6,702	6,702	6,315
Short-term	1	1		
Total Interest on External Debt	6,687	6,703	6,702	6,315

Interest on investments figures above do not include interest from policy investments.

3. CAPITAL PRUDENTIAL INDICATORS 2017/18 - 2020/21

The Council is required to calculate various indicators for the next 3 years. The aim of prudential indicators is to ensure that the Council's capital investment plans are affordable, prudent and sustainable. The prudential indicators set out in **Appendix 6** are calculated for the Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS) period. The indicators relate to capital expenditure, external debt and treasury management.

The Council will monitor performance against the indicators and prepare indicators based on the Statement of Accounts (SoA) at year end.

3.1 Capital Expenditure

The Council's capital expenditure plans are fundamental to its treasury management activity. The output of the capital expenditure plans is reflected in prudential indicators, which are designed to provide Council members an overview and confirm the impact of capital expenditure plans.

This indicator is a summary of the Council's capital expenditure plans, both those agreed previously, and those forming part of this budget cycle as reported in the MTFS. Environment and Regeneration figures include projects relating to Public Health programs however these are fully funded and do not have any MRP implications.

Members are asked to approve the capital expenditure forecasts:

Capital Expenditure	2015/16 Actual £'000	2016/17 Estimate £'000	2017/18 Estimate £'000	2018/19 Estimate £'000	2019/20 Estimate £'000	2020/21 Estimate £'000
Children Schools & Families	14,348	13,396	12,920	12,116	6,236	650
Community & Housing	1,355	1,951	1,334	629	280	630
Corporate Services	2,466	8,975	6,821	3,712	2,480	2,135
Environment & Regeneration	10,910	14,143	18,466	16,748	7,080	5,017
	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	29,079	38,465	39,541	33,205	16,076	8,432

The above financing need excludes other long-term liabilities, such as PFI and leasing arrangements which already include borrowing instruments.

The table below shows how the capital expenditure plans are being financed by revenue or capital resources. A shortfall of resources means a borrowing need. The capital programme expenditure figures used in calculating the financing costs have been adjusted for slippage in the programme as at 30 November 2016.

Capital Expenditure	2015/16 Actual £'000	2016/17 Estimate £'000	2017/18 Estimate £'000	2018/19 Estimate £'000	2019/20 Estimate £'000	2020/21 Estimate £'000
Capital Expenditure	29,079	38,465	39,541	33,205	16,076	8,432
Slippage*	-	-6,698	843	1,704	597	102
Leasing Budgets in Programme after Slippage		-223	-125	-45	-572	-29
Total Capital Expenditure	29,079	31,544	40,259	34,864	16,101	8,505
Financed by:						
Capital Receipts	9,082	14,105	19,475	855	328	871
Capital Grants & Contributions	18,869	15,306	15,070	13,081	5,486	628
Capital Reserves	607	-	-	-	-	-
Revenue Provisions	429	2,061	5,482	1,537	4	0
Other Financing Sources	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net financing need for the year	92	72	232	19,391	10,284	7,006

*In the above table slippage includes slippage in from the previous year and out to the following year.

3.2 The Council's Borrowing Need (the Capital Financing Requirement)

The second prudential indicator, Capital Financing Requirement (CFR), is the total historical outstanding capital expenditure which has not yet been paid for from either revenue or capital resources. In other words, a measure of the Council's underlying borrowing need. Any capital expenditure above, which has not immediately been paid for, will increase the CFR.

The CFR includes any other long-term liabilities like PFI schemes and finance leases which have been brought onto the balance sheet. Whilst this increases the CFR, and therefore the Council's borrowing requirement, it should be noted that these types of scheme include a borrowing facility and so the Council is not required to separately borrow for these schemes.

The Council has no Housing Revenue Account (HRA) and no new PFI scheme in 2017/18 is expected. Public Health capital projects are fully funded therefore have no CFR implications. The 2016/17 forecast movement in CFR shows a decrease of £8,638k because the expenditure to be funded from borrowing in 2016/17 is less than the amount of MRP charged in the year.

The current cashflow projection as at 30 November 2016 for 2016/17 year end is an estimated cash balance of £79.6m. The current forecast has been based on assumptions in the MTF5 and capital programme spend forecast after slippage. The 2016/17 forecast £31.5m, 2017/18 £40.3m, and 2018/19 £34.9m are based on best estimates which may slip due to unforeseen circumstances and the nature of large projects and the level of grant income. Also, fees and charges for the Council may change. Based on current forecasts the earliest the Council may borrow is in 2017/18 in anticipation for 2018/19. However, the Council can borrow in advance of need if rates fall and borrowing becomes a lot more advantageous than it currently is.

The Council is asked to approve the CFR projections in the following table:

	2015/16 Actual £'000	2016/17 Estimate £'000	2017/18 Estimate £'000	2018/19 Estimate £'000	2019/20 Estimate £'000	2020/21 Estimate £'000
Capital Financing Requirement						
CFR (non-housing)	198,616	189,978	181,644	192,997	193,274	190,553
Total CFR	198,616	189,978	181,644	192,997	193,274	190,553
Movement in CFR	(9,210)	(8,638)	(8,334)	11,353	277	(2,721)
Movement in CFR represented by						
Net financing need for the year (above)	92	72	232	19,931	10,284	7,006
Less Capital MRP/VRP	7,587	7,154	7,004	6,579	7,634	7,987
Less Other MRP/VRP (leasing, PFI)	1,118	916	876	724	1,585	897
Less Other MRP/VRP – PFI – Partial termination	597	640	686	735	788	844
Less Other financing movements • Adjustment of PFI Liability • Adjustment of MRP						
Movement in CFR	(9,210)	(8,638)	(8,334)	11,353	277	(2,721)

Actual and estimates of the ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream

This indicator identifies the trend in the cost of capital (borrowing and other long-term obligation costs net of investment income) against the net revenue stream. The indicator shows the proportion of the income received from Council tax, Revenue Support Grant (RSG) and National Non-Domestic Rate (NNDR) that is spent on paying the borrowing associated with delivery of capital investment i.e. principal and interest charges of long-term borrowing.

The table below shows the monetary values for the above ratio

	2015/16 Actual £'000	2016/17 Estimate £'000	2017/18 Estimate £'000	2018/19 Estimate £'000	2019/20 Estimate £'000	2020/21 Estimate £'000
Net Revenue Financing Costs	19,575	16,833	17,105	16,303	19,021	18,155
Net Financing Stream	155,662	148,139	140,300	133,963	133,014	131,181
Ratio of Financing Costs to Net Revenue Stream (Non HRA)	12.58%	11.36%	12.19%	12.17%	14.30%	13.84%

Estimates of the incremental impact of capital investment decisions on council tax.

The table below shows the incremental impact of changes in the capital programme (incorporating the effects of changes in treasury forecasts and investment decisions) on the band D Council tax. Council tax has remained the same since 2011/12 therefore there has been little or no incremental impact on Council tax band D properties.

	2015/16 Actual	2016/17 Estimate	2017/18 Estimate	2018/19 Estimate	2019/20 Estimate	2020/21 Estimate
Incremental Change in Capital Financing Costs (£000)	3,020	-2,742	272	-802	2,719	-866
Council Tax Base	69,638	71,327	72,442	72,805	73,169	73,534
Incremental Impact on Council Tax - Band D*** (£)	43.36	-38.44	3.76	-11.02	37.15	-11.78
Council Tax - Band D (£)	1,106.56	1,106.45	1,106.45	1,106.45	1,125.81	1,145.51

***2015/16 is actual council tax amounts, 2016/17 are actual. However the Council tax base for future years is per the MTFs.

4. MINIMUM REVENUE PROVISION (MRP) POLICY STATEMENT

The Council is required to pay off an element of the accumulated General Fund capital spend each year (the CFR) through a revenue charge (the MRP), although it is also allowed to undertake additional voluntary payments if required (voluntary revenue provision - VRP). The Council has not made any provision for VRP in its capital expenditure.

For capital expenditure incurred before 1 April 2008 or by Supported Capital Expenditure, the MRP policy follows CLG regulations (option 1). This provides for an approximate 4% reduction in the borrowing need (CFR) each year.

From 1 April 2008 for all unsupported borrowing (including PFI and finance leases) the MRP policy will be based on the Asset Life Method – CLG regulations (option 3).

This option will be applied for any expenditure capitalised under a capitalisation direction. It should be noted that this option provides for a reduction in the borrowing need over the approximate life of the asset.

The Council is required to have regard for the Local Government Involvement in Health Act 2007. This amended the Local Government Act 2003 enabling the Secretary of State to issue guidance on accounting practices and thus on MRP. Also, the Local Authorities (Capital Finance and Accounting) (England) Regulations 2003 (as amended) specifies that “A local authority shall determine for the current financial year an amount of minimum revenue provision which it considers to be prudent”. Any MRP implications on how the Council will pay for unfinanced capital assets through revenue will be included in the MRP policy.

Category	Depreciation (Years)
Assets valued over £1m	
Buildings	50
Mechanical & Electrical	20
External	20
Assets valued under £1m	
Buildings	40
Infrastructure (roads etc)	25
15 Year Asset	15
10 Year Asset	10
Computer software	5
Computer hardware	5
Large vehicles – e.g. buses, RCVs	7
Small vehicles – e.g. cars, vans	5
Other equipment e.g. CCTV	5

MRP years where there is no depreciation equivalent	
Land	50
Revenue Expenditure Funded by capital Under Statute e.g. Redundancy costs	20

5. TREASURY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

5.1 The Prospects for Interest Rates and Economic Forecasts

Consideration is given to economic and interest rate forecasts because they provide likely investment rates (bank rates), likely borrowing rates (PWLB), credit risk profile thereby giving some latitude on when to borrow, repay and invest. However as with every forecast there is also the likelihood of economic factors not following forecasts.

The following table gives the central position on the Council's treasury management adviser's view on interest rates.

Annual Average %	Bank Rate (%)	PWLB Borrowing Rates (%)			
		5 year	10 year	25 year	50 year
March 2017	0.25	1.60	2.30	2.90	2.70
June 2017	0.25	1.60	2.30	2.90	2.70
Sept 2017	0.25	1.60	2.30	2.90	2.70
Dec 2017	0.25	1.60	2.30	3.00	2.80
March 2018	0.25	1.70	2.30	3.00	2.80
June 2018	0.25	1.70	2.40	3.00	2.80
Sept 2018	0.25	1.70	2.40	3.10	2.90
Dec 2018	0.25	1.80	2.40	3.10	2.90
March 2019	0.25	1.80	2.50	3.20	3.00
June 2019	0.50	1.90	2.50	3.20	3.00
Sept 2019	0.50	1.90	2.60	3.30	3.10
Dec 2019	0.75	2.00	2.60	3.30	3.10
Mar 2020	0.75	2.00	2.70	3.40	3.20

Source: Capita Asset Services

The above forecasts reflect broad stimulus measures the Bank of England's Monetary Policy Committee (MPC) took following the vote for the UK to exit the EU (Brexit) at the Referendum on 23rd June 2016, including a cut in base rate from 0.50% to 0.25% on 4th August 2016. Since then, economic statistics suggest that the sharp fall in Sterling strengthened growth and raised inflation forecasts significantly.

During the two-year period (2017 – 2019) post triggering of Article 50, when the UK is negotiating the terms for withdrawal from the EU, it is likely that the MPC will do nothing to dampen growth prospects already adversely impacted by the uncertainties of Brexit. Accordingly, a first increase to 0.50% is not tentatively pencilled in, as above, until Q2 2019, after those negotiations have been concluded, (though the period for negotiations could be extended). However, if strong domestically generated inflation, (e.g. from wage increases within the UK), were to emerge, then the pace and timing of increases in Bank Rate could be brought forward.

Economic forecasting remains difficult with so many external influences weighing on the UK. The above forecasts, (and MPC decisions), will be liable to further amendment depending on how economic data and developments in financial markets transpire over the next year. Forecasts for average investment earnings beyond the three-year time horizon will be heavily dependent on economic and political developments. Major volatility in bond yields is likely to endure as investor fears and confidence ebb and flow between favouring more risky assets i.e. equities, or the safe haven of bonds. The overall longer run trend is for gilt yields and PWLB rates to rise, albeit gently. An eventual world economic recovery may also see investors switching from the safe haven of bonds to equities.

The overall balance of risks to economic recovery in the UK remains to the downside.

PWLB rates and gilt yields have been experiencing exceptional levels of volatility that are highly correlated to geo-political, sovereign debt crisis and emerging market developments.

Apart from the above uncertainties, downside risks to current forecasts for UK gilt yields and PWLB rates currently include:

- Monetary policy action by central banks reaching its limit of effectiveness and failing to stimulate significant sustainable growth, combat the threat of deflation and reduce high levels of debt in some major developed economies, combined with a lack of adequate action from national governments to promote growth through structural reforms, fiscal policy and investment expenditure.
- Major national polls:
 - US presidential election was held on 8th November 2016. Bank funding costs have risen since the US election and markets believe the policies of Mr Donald Trump, the President-elect, could push up interest rates;
 - Italian constitutional referendum was held on 4th December 2016 with the Prime Minister, Matteo Renzi resigning after his defeat sparking fears about the stability of the Italian banking system;
 - Spain has held two inconclusive general elections and is still unable to form a workable government with a coalition holding a majority of seats; the impasse could lead to a third general election – currently tentatively scheduled for 25th December 2016;
 - Dutch general election 15th March 2017;
 - French presidential election April/May 2017;
 - French National Assembly election June 2017;
 - German Federal election August – October 2017.
- A resurgence of the Eurozone sovereign debt crisis.
- Weak capitalisation of some European banks.
- Geopolitical risks in Europe, the Middle East and Asia, increasing safe haven flows.
- UK economic growth and increases in inflation are weaker than we currently anticipate.
- Weak growth or recession in the UK's main trading partners - the EU and US.

The potential for upside risks to current forecasts for UK gilt yields and PWLB rates, especially for longer term PWLB rates, include: -

- UK inflation rising to significantly higher levels than in the wider EU and US, causing an increase in the inflation premium in gilt yields.
- A rise in US Treasury yields as a result of Fed funds rate increases and rising inflation expectations in the USA, pushing UK gilt yields upwards.
- The pace and timing of increases in the Fed funds rate causing a fundamental reassessment by investors of the relative risks of holding bonds as opposed to equities and leading to a major flight from bonds to equities.
- A downward revision to the UK's sovereign credit rating undermining investor confidence in holding sovereign debt (gilts).

5.2 Borrowing Strategy

Current Borrowing Portfolio Position

The table below shows the CFR as at 30 November 2016 against the gross debt position of the Council. The gross debt includes other long-term liabilities like PFI and finance lease obligations. Gross debt should not exceed CFR in the medium to long-term.

Estimated debt may change as the capital programme spends and financing changes. The lease balances do not include adjustments for new implications in 2016/17.

	2015/16 Actual £'000	2016/17 Estimate £'000	2017/18 Estimate £'000	2018/19 Estimate £'000	2019/20 Estimate £'000	2020/21 Estimate £'000
External Debt at 1 April	116,976	116,976	116,976	113,010	113,010	113,010
Expected change in Debt (repayment and new debt)****	0	0	(3,966)	0	0	0
Closing External Debt	116,976	116,976	113,010	113,010	113,010	113,010
PFI Balance b/f	19,524	18,664	17,959	17,164	16,480	14,926
In year movement	-860	-705	-795	-684	-1,554	-805
Closing Balance PFI	18,664	17,959	17,164	16,480	14,926	14,121
PFI Partial Termination Balance b/f	15,210	14,613	13,973	13,287	12,552	11,764
In year movement	-597	-640	-686	-735	-788	-844
Closing Balance Partial Termination PFI	14,613	13,973	13,287	12,552	11,764	10,920
TOTAL PFI	33,277	31,932	30,451	29,032	26,690	25,041
Finance Leases at 1 April	219	211	81	39	31	92
Expected Change in Finance Leases	-8	-130	-42	-8	60	42
Closing Balance Finance Leases	211	81	39	31	92	134
Salix Loan	44	34	25	15	5	0
Salix in year movement	-10	-10	-10	-10	-5	0
Closing Balance Salix	34	25	15	5	0	0
Actual gross debt at 31 March	150,498	149,014	143,515	142,078	139,792	138,185
Capital Financing Requirement	198,616	189,978	181,644	192,997	193,274	190,553
(Under)/over borrowing	-48,118	-40,964	-38,131	-50,919	-53,482	-52,368

****£3.966m of long-term debt matures in 2017/18

The table above shows the CFR forecast for 2016/17 to 2020/21. Also, there is no maturing debt until 2017/18 hence little borrowing pressure therefore the Council is currently maintaining an under-borrowed position. This means that the capital borrowing need (the CFR), has not been fully funded with loan debt as cash supporting the Council's reserves, balances and cashflow has been used as a temporary measure. PFI and finance lease portion of the CFR will not be funded by additional loan. Capital forecasts relating to 2018/19, 2019/20 and 2020/21 are very much subject to change at this stage.

The Council's decision to use internal borrowing is prudent as it eliminates the revenue cost of carry as investment returns remain low, there is sometimes slippage on capital programme budgets and counterparty risks remain to a degree. The Council can fund its entire borrowing requirement now if this is affordable. In which case, borrowing will be up to CFR.

Borrowing interest rates have been on a downward trend since 2016. Against this background, the Director of Corporate Services will continue to monitor interest rates in financial markets and adopt a pragmatic approach to changing circumstances to maximise short-term savings. However when interest rates begin to rise, the Council will consider borrowing in advance of need than current forecast requirements show. The duration of loans will be driven by the current loan portfolio, affordability, the position on internal borrowing and borrowing rates. The policy of avoiding new borrowing by using spare cash balances, has served well over the last few years. However, this needs to be reviewed carefully to avoid incurring higher borrowing costs in future when the Council will not be able to avoid new borrowing to finance capital expenditure and/or to refinance maturing debt.

Council's Year End Balance Sheet Position at 31 March 2016

	2014/15	2015/16	Change
	£'000	£'000	£'000
CFR	207,826	198,616	9,210
PFI and LEASES	-35,553	-34,123	-1,430
Underlying Borrowing Requirement	172,273	164,493	7,780
External Borrowing	116,676	116,976	300
Under borrowing / Internal borrowing to date	-55,597	-47,517	-8,080

Strategy to 'Unwind' Internal Borrowing

Internal borrowing at 31 March 2016 remains at sustainable levels. However, the Council will commence a review of its strategy to 'unwind' internal borrowing.

Debt Liability Benchmarking

In defining its borrowing strategy, the Council considered the true characteristics of all of the debt instruments in its portfolio, most especially the LOBOs and the various options available to the Council.

Consideration was given to the fact that in the current economic climate the LOBOs in the Council's portfolio will not be called due to their very high interest rate. Should they be called, replacement borrowing will not be required because the council will have cash available in 2017/18 to meet the call options based on the current estimates of the use of internal borrowing for the capital programme.

If all LOBOs are called at once (an unlikely event) then future estimated use of cash to temporarily fund the capital programme may be affected.

All counterparties were contacted in 2016 and most responded and cited a minimum rate they would consider reviewing the call option on the LOBO as being over 3%. Bank of England rate is currently 0.25% with rates not expected to rise to 0.75% before Q4 2019.

The borrowing strategy to temporarily finance its capital programme, led the Council to consider setting a minimum amount of projected liquid cash of £10m. This means that cash outflows for capital purposes would primarily be met from cash investments until £10m was reached, and only at that point, would external borrowing be undertaken except if interest rates were advantageous for long-term loans, then the Council will borrow in advance of need or where interest rates are expected to rise significantly and quickly.

The Council will continue to review, throughout the year, its options around higher and lower levels of cash-backed balances.

Treasury Risk Analysis - Debt

Whilst it is not mandatory for Local Authorities to adopt the CIPFA Risk Toolkit produced by CIPFA's Treasury Management Panel, the Council will continue to utilise and adopt the risk tool kit and participate in the risk study in 2017/18 as there are some merits for the Council in managing its integrated treasury management portfolio and in considering risk mitigation options for its treasury management review process and benchmarking with its peers.

5.3 Treasury Indicators: Limits to Borrowing Activity

Operational Boundary - this is the limit beyond which external borrowing is not normally expected to exceed.

Operational boundary £'000	2015/16 Actual £'000	2016/17 Estimate £'000	2017/18 Estimate £'000	2018/19 Estimate £'000	2019/20 Estimate £'000	2020/21 Estimate £'000
External Debt	116,976	116,976	113,010	113,010	113,010	113,010
Other Long-term Liabilities	34,123	32,013	30,490	29,063	26,782	25,175
Operational Boundary	151,099	148,989	143,500	142,073	139,792	138,185

Authorised Limit for External Borrowing


This is the statutory limit determined under section 3 (1) of the Local Government Act 2003. It represents a limit beyond which external borrowing must not go over in the 3 years, and this limit when set is to be revised annually by Council. It reflects the level of external borrowing which, while not desired, could be afforded in the short-term, but is not sustainable in the longer term.

The Council is asked to approve the following authorised limit:

	2015/16 Actual £'000	2016/17 Estimate £'000	2017/18 Estimate £'000	2018/19 Estimate £'000	2019/20 Estimate £'000	2020/21 Estimate £'000
External Debt	151,099	148,989	143,500	142,073	139,792	138,185
Other Long-term Liabilities	60,000	70,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000
Authorised Limit	211,099	218,989	223,500	222,073	219,792	218,185


Members are required to note that these authorised limits shows the gross maximum borrowing for the year and, in year regulatory accounting changes which may affect the level of debt in the balance sheet as well as allow for any potential overdraft position and short-term borrowing for cashflow purposes. All of which will be counted against the overall borrowing. The authorised limit also provides headroom for any debt rescheduling which may occur during the year and any borrowing in advance of need.

The following graph shows projection of the CFR and borrowing.



Within the prudential indicators, there are a number of key indicators to ensure that the Council operates its activities within well-defined limits. One of these is that the Council should ensure that its gross debt does not (except in the short term) exceed the total of the CFR in the preceding year plus the estimates of any additional CFR for 2017/18 and the following two financial years. This allows some flexibility for limited early borrowing for future years, but ensures that borrowing is not undertaken for revenue purposes.

The Director of Corporate Services reports that the Council complied with this key prudential indicator in the current year and does not envisage difficulties for the future. This view takes into account current commitments, existing plans, and the proposals in the budget.



5.4 Treasury Management Limits on Activity

The table below shows the debt related treasury activity limits.

Members are asked to note that the maturity structure guidance changed in the CIPFA 2011 guidance notes for Lenders Option Borrowers Option (LOBO) Loans, the maturity dates is now deemed to be the next call date.

As interest rates begin to rise, it may be beneficial for the Council to go into some variable rate investments to avoid being locked into long-term investments at low rates in a period of rising interest rates or shorter duration borrowing to gain advantage of low rates.

The table below shows the fixed and variable interest rate exposure

	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21
Interest Rate Exposures	Upper Estimate	Upper Estimate	Upper Estimate	Upper Estimate	Upper Estimate
Upper limit for fixed interest rates based on net debt	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Upper limit for variable interest rates based on net debt	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%
Limits on fixed interest rates:					
• Debt only	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
• Investments only	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Limits on variable interest rates					
• Debt only	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%
• Investments only	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%

The table below shows the Limits on the Maturity Structure of Borrowing

	Maturity Structure of fixed interest rate borrowing 2017/18			Maturity Structure of variable interest rate borrowing 2017/18		
	Actual at 30/11/2016	Lower	Upper	Actual 30/11/2016	Lower	Upper
Under 12 months	3.39%	0%	60%	0%	0%	50%
12 months to 2 years	0%	0%	60%	0%	0%	50%
2 years to 5 years	3.42%	0%	60%	0%	0%	50%
5 years to 10 years	22.66%	0%	80%	0%	0%	50%
10 years to 20 years	14.53%	0%	100%	0%	0%	50%
20 years to 30 years	11.54%	0%	100%	0%	0%	50%
30 years to 40 years	27.36%	0%	100%	0%	0%	50%
40 years to 50 years	17.10%	0%	100%	0%	0%	50%

Local Indicators

In setting the indicators below, the Council has taken into consideration investment risks and returns.

The table below shows target borrowing and investment rates

	2015/16 Actual %	2016/17 Estimate %	2017/18 Estimate %	2018/19 Estimate %	2019/20 Estimate %	2020/21 Estimate %
Average Investment Target Return	0.78%	0.84%	0.75%	0.75%	1.00%	1.25%
Average Investment Target – Property Fund	n/a	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%
Long Term Borrowing Target						
• Current Portfolio	5.72%	5.72%	5.70%	5.72%	5.72%	5.72%

The average investment target return above is based on the expected target return for the stated periods.

5.5 Policy on Borrowing in Advance of Need

London Borough of Merton will not borrow more than, or in advance of its need, purely in order to profit from the investment of the extra sums borrowed.

Any decision to borrow in advance will be within forward approved CFR estimates, and will be considered carefully to ensure that value for money can be demonstrated and that the Council can ensure the security of such funds.

Borrowing in advance could be made within the constraints that:

- It will be limited to no more than 50% of the expected increase in borrowing need (CFR) over the three year planning period; and
- Would not look to borrow more than 24 months in advance of need. Where possible rates will be locked using forward borrowing to reduce the risk of the Council holding cash in low interest rate environment.

Risks associated with any borrowing in advance activity will be subject to prior appraisal and subsequent reporting through the mid-year or annual reporting mechanism. The probability of this happening is low.

However should the Council need to borrow in advance of need, then the following will apply.

Year	Maximum Borrowing in advance	Notes
2017/18	No more than 50% of under borrowing requirement	Borrowing in advance will be limited to no more than 50% of the expected increase in borrowing need (CFR) over the period of the approved Medium Term Capital Programme, a maximum of 2 years in advance to reduce carrying costs.
2018-19	No more than 50% of under borrowing requirement	
2019-20	No more than 50% of under borrowing requirement	
2020-21	No more than 50% of under borrowing requirement	

5.6. Debt Rescheduling

Long-term fixed rates have remained relatively unchanged over the past three years. Although borrowing costs remain historically attractive, redemption rates are prohibitive.

The following table shows the maturity profile of the Council's current debt as at 30 November 2016.

Duration	£'000	% of Debt Portfolio
less than 1 year	3,966	3.39
1 - 2 years	0	0
2 - 5 years	4,000	3.42
5 -10 years	26,510	22.66
10 -15 years	4,500	3.85
15- 20 years	12,500	10.69
20 - 25 years	0	0.00
25-30 years	13,500	11.54
30 - 35 years	0	0.00
35-40 years	32,000	27.36
40 -45 years	0	0.00
45-50 years	20,000	17.10
Total	116,976	100.00

All of the Council's LOBOs are past their non call period, however, should all LOBOs be called at their next interest due date then the maturity profile will be as shown in the table below, an event which is very unlikely in the current low interest rate environment.

Duration	£'000	% of Debt Portfolio
less than 1 year	63,000	53.86
1 - 2 years	1,966	1.68
2 - 5 years	0	0.00
5 -10 years	26,510	22.66
10 -15 years	0	0.00
15- 20 years	3,500	2.99
20 - 25 years	0	0.00
25-30 years	0	0.00
30 - 35 years	0	0.00
35-40 years	22,000	18.81
40 -45 years	0	0.00
45-50 years	0	0.00
Total	116,976	100.00

As short term borrowing rates will be considerably cheaper than longer term fixed interest rates, there may be potential opportunities to generate savings by switching from long term debt to short term debt. However, these savings will need to be considered in the light of the current treasury position and the size of the cost of debt repayment (premiums incurred).

The reasons for any rescheduling to take place will include:

- the generation of cash savings and / or discounted cash flow savings;
- helping to fulfil the treasury strategy;
- enhancing the balance of the portfolio (amend the maturity profile and/or the balance of volatility).

Consideration will also be given to identify if there is any residual potential for making savings by running down investment balances to repay debt prematurely as short term rates on investments are likely to be lower than rates paid on current debt.

The Council tests the markets for redemption opportunities should they exist. The PWLB loans portfolio was elected for the early redemption review as at 30 November 2016. A total loan value of £52m would incur redemption costs of £25million in addition to any accrued interest due.

The high cost of early redemption is not economically viable in current markets. However there may be cases where the Council is able to negotiate with the counterparty (**Appendix 1**).

The Director of Corporate Services will continue to review and identify any potential for making savings and provide Cabinet with updates when such opportunities arise. Any rescheduling activity will be reported to Cabinet at the earliest meeting following the transaction.

Use of Derivatives

The Council may use derivatives for risk management purposes in line with relevant statutory powers, recommended accounting practices and legal opinions on the use of derivatives by Local Authorities in the UK.

5.7 Borrowing Options

The Council will use a number of borrowing sources. These include the Public Works Loans Board (PWLB maturity, EIP or annuity loans), Market loans, Municipal Bond Agency, Retail Bonds, Loans from other Local Authorities and temporary loans. It is hoped that borrowing rates will be lower than those offered by the PWLB. The Council intends to make use of this new source of borrowing as and when appropriate.

5.8 Changes Which May Affect Treasury Management

- Future Regulatory Changes to Money Market Fund Valuation
Proposed EU legislative changes will require money market funds with constant net asset value to change to variable net asset value. This will mean that investors in the fund will be liable for their share of losses as a result of counterparty failure. Consultation continues on the expected changes.

- Proposed Changes to Leasing
Future changes to accounting for leasing may mean that the cost of service will increase along with increases in MRP and CFR which will affect the Council's underlying borrowing requirement. It is anticipated that there may be some impact on both capital and revenue income and the changes will require all leases to be included on the balance sheet and be measured on PV of future lease payments. The new lease standard (IFRS 13) issued in 2015 is not anticipated to be adopted until 2019/20.

- Municipal Bond Agency

It is likely that the Municipal Bond Agency currently in the process of being set up will be offering loans to local authorities in the near future. It is also hoped that borrowing rates will be lower than those offered by the PWLB.

- Future Challenges to Local Government Funding
Future challenges to local government funding and their effect on cash flow remains a challenge.

6. ANNUAL INVESTMENT STRATEGY

6.1 Investment Policy

London Borough of Merton's investment policy has regard to the CLG's Guidance on Local Government Investments ("the Guidance") and the revised CIPFA Treasury Management in Public Services Code of Practice and Cross Sectoral Guidance Notes ("the CIPFA TM Code"). The Council's investment priorities will be security first, liquidity second, then return.

6.2 Investment Strategy

The Council does not place cash with fund managers as all of its cash is managed in-house. Base rate is forecast to remain at 0.25% till Q4 2019.

The forecast rates have been built on the basis that bank rate is expected to remain unchanged until around the fourth quarter of 2019, however if interest rates do not rise then future income expectations may not be met. Local indicators /benchmark for investments set is included in paragraph 5.4 of this report.

In order to maximise returns, cash available for investments will be split into three categories;

- Operational cash (under 3 months)
- Core cash (available for 3 to 6 months)
- Strategic cash (available for over 6 months)

The aim is to invest strategic cash for a minimum period of 12 months to enable the Council to secure advantageous rates, taking account of counterparty risk. However this is also constrained by counterparty risk. Operational cash will predominantly be lent overnight or for periods less than three months.

6.3 Alternative Investment Instruments

The Council has in the past restricted its treasury activities to simple investment structures like fixed deposits and money market funds.

However, in the current market, regulatory and economic environment, the Council may be required to utilise various instruments. **Appendix 5** of this report gives a detailed overview of the types of instrument and investment options available to the Council.

The global financial crisis of 2008 led to a major overhaul of regulation, market practices and financial institutions across the world. The changes have been aimed at promoting greater transparency and investor confidence.

Some of these measures include more institution-level regulatory changes like stringent capital, leverage and liquidity requirements in addition to The European Union (EU) Directives on Bank Recovery and Resolution (BRRD) and Deposit Guarantee Schemes (DGSD) among a few are key in this reform. Although these changes are ultimately designed to make financial systems more robust, they are not expected to have a fundamental impact on insolvency creditor hierarchy.

Although the Council does not expect a fundamental change in type of instruments it uses in the delivery of its treasury management activities, a number of new instruments have been included to provide flexibility should there be changes in the economic environment which may warrant their use. As with any investment, there are varying degrees of risk associated with each instrument or investment options.

Should the Council decide to invest in any asset class a comprehensive analysis will be conducted to understand the associated risk and each instrument will be signed off by the Director of Corporate Services prior to any activity.

6.4 Investment Treasury Indicator and Limit - total principal funds invested for greater than 364 days. These limits are set with regard to the Council's liquidity requirements and are based on the availability of funds after each year-end.

	31 Dec 2016 Actual £'m	2016/17 Estimate £'m	2017/18 Estimate £'m	2018/19 Estimate £'m	2019/20 Estimate £'m	2020/21 Estimate £'m
Estimated Principal sums invested greater than 364 days	5m	18m	40m	40m	30m	30m

In addition to fixed deposits, a number of other financial instruments like Property funds will fall under the category of investments with duration exceeding 364 days. In addition to using money market funds, call accounts and notice accounts, the Council will seek to utilise other liquid and transferable instruments like certificate of deposits and gilts for its cashflow balances.

6.5 Use of Specified and Non-Specified Investments

Investment instruments identified for use in the financial year are as follows:

Specified Investments

These are sterling investments of not more than one-year maturity, or those which could be for a longer period where the Council has the right to be repaid within 12 months if it wishes. These are considered low risk assets where the possibility of loss of principal or investment income is small. These would include sterling investments which would not be defined as capital expenditure by virtue of regulation 25(1)(d) of the Local Authorities (Capital Finance and Accounting) (England) Regulations 2003 [SI 3146 as amended with:

- The investment is denominated in sterling and any payments or repayments in respect of the investment are payable only in sterling;

- The investment is not a long-term investment;
- The making of the investment is not defined as capital expenditure]; and
- The investment is made with a body or in an investment scheme of high credit quality or with one of the following public-sector bodies:
 - The United Kingdom Government;
 - A local authority in England or Wales (as defined under section 23 of the 2003 Act).

Non-Specified Investments

Non-Specified investments are defined as those not meeting the above criteria and exceeding 365 days in duration.

6.6 Investment Risk Benchmarking

These benchmarks are simple guides to maximum risk, so they may be breached from time to time, depending on movements in interest rates and counterparty criteria. The purpose of the benchmark is that officers will monitor the current and trend position and amend the operational strategy to manage risk as conditions change

Revenue Pressures – 0.1% improvement on £20m is £20k income generated and the cost of no risk is lost revenue therefore risks must be balanced to the Council's risk appetite.

Security - The Council's maximum security risk benchmark for the current portfolio:

- Liquidity – in respect of this area the Council seeks to maintain:
 - Bank overdraft - £1m
 - Liquid short-term deposits of around £5m or more available with one day access.

6.7 Risk Management and Creditworthiness Policy

This Council applies the creditworthiness service provided by Capita Asset Services. This service employs a sophisticated modelling approach utilising credit ratings from the three main credit rating agencies - Fitch, Moody's and Standard and Poor's. The credit ratings of counterparties are supplemented with the following overlays:

- Credit watches and credit outlooks from credit rating agencies;
- CDS spreads to give early warning of likely changes in credit ratings;
- Sovereign ratings to select counterparties from only the most creditworthy countries.

This modelling approach combines credit ratings, credit Watches and credit Outlooks in a weighted scoring system which is then combined with an overlay of CDS spreads for which the end product is a series of colour coded bands which indicate the relative creditworthiness of counterparties. These colour codes are used by the Council to determine the suggested duration for investments. The Council will therefore use counterparties within the following durational bands:

- Yellow 5 years
- Dark pink 5 years for Enhanced money market funds (EMMFs) with a credit score of 1.25
- Light pink 5 years for Enhanced money market funds (EMMFs) with a credit score of 1.5
- Purple 2 years
- Blue 1 year (only applies to nationalised or semi nationalised UK Banks)
- Orange 1 year
- Red 6 months
- Green 100 days
- No colour not to be used

Y	Pi1	Pi2	P	B	O	R	G	N/C
1	1.25	1.5	2	3	4	5	6	7
Up to 5yrs	Up to 5yrs	Up to 5yrs	Up to 2yrs	Up to 1yr	Up to 1yr	Up to 6mths	Up to 100days	No Colour

	Colour (and long term rating where applicable)	Money Limit	Time Limit
Banks	yellow	£35m	5yrs
Banks	purple	£25m	2 yrs
Banks	orange	£25m	1 yr
Banks – part nationalised	blue	£25m	1 yr
Banks	red	£10m	6 mths
Banks	green	£5m	100 days
Banks	No colour	Not to be used	
Limit 3 category – Council’s banker	Lloyds bank	£5m	1 day
Other institutions limit	-	£5m	1yrs
DMADF	AAA	unlimited	6 months
Local authorities	n/a	£5m	1yrs
	Fund rating	Money Limit	Time Limit
Money market funds	AAA	£35m	Instant
Enhanced money market funds with a credit score of 1.25	Dark pink / AAA	£25m	Instant
Enhanced money market funds with a credit score of 1.5	Light pink / AAA	£10m	Instant

The Capita Asset Services' creditworthiness service uses a wider array of information than just primary ratings. Furthermore, by using a risk weighted scoring system, it does not give undue preponderance to just one agency's ratings.

Typically the minimum credit ratings criteria the Council use will be a Short Term rating (Fitch or equivalents) of F1 and a Long Term rating of A-. There may be occasions when the counterparty ratings from one rating agency are marginally lower than these ratings but may still be used. In these instances consideration will be given to the whole range of ratings available, or other topical market information, to support their use.

All credit ratings will be monitored regularly. The Council is alerted to changes to ratings of all three agencies through its use of the Capita Asset Services' creditworthiness service.

- if a downgrade results in the counterparty / investment scheme no longer meeting the Council's minimum criteria, its further use as a new investment will be withdrawn immediately.
- in addition to the use of credit ratings the Council will be advised of information in movements in credit default swap spreads against the iTraxx benchmark and other market data on a daily basis via its Passport website, provided exclusively to it by Capita Asset Services. Extreme market movements may result in downgrade of an institution or removal from the Council's lending list.

Sole reliance will not be placed on the use of this external service. In addition this Council will also use market data and market information, information on any external support for banks to help support its decision making process.

6.8 Country and Sector Limits

The Council has determined that it will only use approved counterparties from countries with a minimum sovereign credit rating of AA- from Fitch (or equivalent). The list of countries that qualify using this credit criteria as at the date of this report are shown in **Appendix 3**. This list will be added to, or deducted from by officers should ratings change in accordance with this policy.

6.9 Banking Arrangements

The Council's bankers are Lloyds bank. The Council's bank accounts include some school accounts and client bank accounts managed as part of its Appointeeship role for residents that require this support. All schools are responsible for the management of their bank accounts.

From time to time the Council may open bank accounts with other banks for specific reasons, subject to approval by the Director of Corporate Services.

6.10 Lending to Community Organisations, Other Third Parties and RSLs - Any loans to or investments in third parties will be made under the Well Being powers of the Council conferred by section 2 of the Local Government Act 2000 or Localism Act of 2011.

The Well Being power can be exercised for the benefit of some or all of the residents or visitors to a local authority's area. The power may also be used to benefit organisations, schools, local enterprises, local companies or even

individuals. Loans of this nature will be under exceptional circumstances and must be approved by Cabinet or by delegated authority to the Director of Corporate Services. Authorisation from the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) will also be sought where applicable.

Where it is deemed necessary, additional guarantees will be sought. This will be via security against assets and/or through guarantees from a parent company. The Council will also consider other factors like the statutory powers in place, reasonableness of the investment, FCA, objective and revenue earnings for the Council, MRP requirements, accounting issues and categorisation of the expenditure as capital or revenue.

In other instances, the Council may receive soft loans from government agencies.

6.11 Non-Treasury Investment Lending

The Council may be required to make policy investments for the good of its community by lending to local organisations and in some cases schools. Legal agreements are drawn which stipulate the terms of the loan which includes the ability of the organisation to make repayments. The Council may also lend to its wholly owned companies.

6.12 Comparative Reviews - The Council participates in various comparative and benchmarking clubs.

7. Cashflow Management

7.1 CIPFA requires all monies to be under the control of the responsible officer and for cashflow projections to be prepared on a regular and timely basis. Cashflow provides outline of operations. Actuals and forecast are recorded using Logotech systems. At the end of each day the net receipts and payments is either invested or borrowed to ensure that the Council's bank account is kept at a minimum.

Forecasts are based on best estimates which may slip due to unforeseen circumstances and the nature of large projects. The Council can borrow in advance of need if rates fall and borrowing becomes a lot more advantageous than it currently is.

7.2 Purchase and Corporate Credit Cards

The use of corporate credit cards like other accounts payable methods carries significant risks. The Director of Corporate Services is responsible for ensuring that the Council has appropriate controls in place to protect the Council's funds.

8. Policy on the use of External Service Providers

The Council recognises CIPFA's guidance on Treasury Management that the responsibility for Treasury Management cannot be delegated outside the authority and recognises that any external service provider used by the Council is to support the in-house Treasury Management function. The Council will

ensure that the terms of their appointment and the methods by which their value will be assessed are properly agreed and documented, and subjected to regular review. The Council is aware of the CIPFA Treasury Management Advisors Regulation and Services issued in March 2010.

The Council is also mindful of the requirements of the Bribery Act 2011 as amended in its dealings with external providers. A copy of the Council's policy can be found in the link below.

http://www.merton.gov.uk/democratic_services/w-agendas/w-nonexecreports/1115.pdf

9. Training

A key outcome of the recent investigations into Local Authority investments is the need to ensure that all relevant Treasury Management staff receive appropriate training and knowledge in relation to these activities. Training is provided in-house on the job, via CIPFA seminars and training courses, treasury adviser seminars and training courses and sometimes counterparties conduct training. In addition, members of the team attend national forums and practitioner user groups.

10. The Localism Act

- 10.1 A key element of the Act is the "General Power of Competence": "A local authority has power to do anything that individuals generally may do." CIPFA emphasise that where the legality of the use of derivatives is confirmed, then there is a need for a framework for their use. The Council currently does not use derivatives. Should the need for the use of derivatives arise as a requirement for managing its interest rate exposure or hedging its investments, the Council will take legal advice and report to members before use.

11. Treasury Management Practices

- 11.1 The 2011 Code reinforces a framework of 12 Treasury Management practices (TMPs), which define the manner in which authorities seek to achieve the policies and objectives outlined in their Treasury Management policy statement. The Council's detailed Treasury Management practices approved in March 2012/13 can be found on the Council's intranet. An updated version is included as **Appendix 5**

12. Appendices

- 12.1 Appendix 1– Early Repayment of Debt Estimate
 Appendix 2 – Policy Investments (Non-Treasury Management Investments)
 Appendix 3 – Approved Countries for Investment
 Appendix 4 – The Treasury Management Role of the S151 Officer
 Appendix 5 – Treasury Management Practices 2017/18

Appendix 6 – Prudential Indicators for 2016/17 to 2019/20

Appendix 7 – Glossary

13. Background Papers

- CIPFA Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities 2013 Edition
- 2016/17 Treasury Management Strategy report
- The Guide to Local Government Finance (2013 Edition) Module 4: Treasury Management
- CIPFA Practical Considerations in Using Financial Instruments to Manage Risk in the Public Sector
- London Borough of Merton Capital Strategy 2017/21

PWLB loan Early Redemption Estimates at 30 November 2016

Internal Reference No.	Lender	Last Date Interest was Paid	Loan Start Date	Loan Term (yrs)	Loan Maturity Date	Loan Principal Outstanding (£)	Loan Rate (%)	Term left on Loan (Yrs)	Next Interest Due Date	Discount Rate (%)	Accrued Interest to 30 Nov 2016 (£)	Premium/Discount (£)	Total Due (£)
1000484711	PWLB	31/10/2016	13/11/2000	24	31/10/2024	5,000,000	5.000	7.9	30/04/2017	0.97	20,547.95	1,532,107.50	6,552,655.45
1000484981	PWLB	31/10/2016	30/11/2000	24	31/10/2024	1,500,000	4.750	7.9	30/04/2017	0.97	5,856.16	431,119.08	1,936,975.24
1005489969	PWLB	20/11/2016	20/05/2005	30	20/05/2035	2,500,000	4.450	18.4	20/05/2017	1.85	3,047.95	1,013,124.14	3,516,172.09
1005490706	PWLB	21/11/2016	21/11/2005	26	21/11/2031	1,000,000	4.250	14.9	21/05/2017	1.69	1,047.95	337,449.67	1,338,497.62
1005490967	PWLB	25/07/2016	10/01/2006	50	25/07/2055	10,000,000	3.950	38.6	25/01/2017	1.74	138,520.55	6,199,143.25	16,337,663.80
1005490976	PWLB	25/07/2016	10/01/2006	50	25/07/2055	5,000,000	3.950	38.6	25/01/2017	1.74	69,260.27	3,099,571.62	8,168,831.89
1006491475	PWLB	28/10/2016	28/04/2006	45.5	28/10/2051	7,000,000	4.400	34.8	28/04/2017	1.81	27,846.58	4,676,574.39	11,704,420.97
1097480120	PWLB	30/09/2016	15/10/1997	25.5	31/03/2023	310,000	6.625	6.3	31/03/2017	0.72	3,432.29	113,120.87	426,553.16
1097480121	PWLB	30/09/2016	15/10/1997	26.5	31/03/2024	12,000,000	6.500	7.3	31/03/2017	0.89	130,356.16	4,768,440.63	16,898,796.79
1097480232	PWLB	30/09/2016	11/11/1997	26.5	31/03/2024	1,700,000	6.750	7.3	31/03/2017	0.89	19,177.40	705,632.88	2,424,810.28
1098480925	PWLB	31/10/2016	30/04/1998	26	30/04/2024	6,000,000	5.875	7.4	30/04/2017	0.89	28,972.60	2,142,364.86	8,171,337.46
						52,010,000					448,065.86	25,018,648.89	77,476,714.75

APPENDIX 2 – Policy Investments (Non-Treasury Management Investments)

Type	Duration	
Joint Development Companies	One month to 10 years	Subject to specific terms
Loans to Registered Landlords	One month to 5 years	Subject to specific terms
Open Loan Facility to RCL's with an affiliation with Merton	One month to 5 years	Subject to specific terms
Loans to wholly owned companies	One month to 30 years	Subject to specific terms
Loan to any other type of organisation	One month to 10 years	Subject to specific terms

APPENDIX 3 – APPROVED COUNTRIES FOR INVESTMENTS (as at 30 November 2016)

Below is the current list of approved countries for investments for use by the Council's treasury team. The countries on the Council's approved list may change from time to time as Sovereign ratings change.

This list is based on those countries which have sovereign ratings of AA- or higher and also, (except - at the time of writing - for Norway and Luxembourg), have banks operating in sterling markets which have credit ratings of green or above in the Capita Asset Services credit worthiness service.

AAA

- Australia
- Canada
- Denmark
- Germany
- Luxembourg
- Netherlands
- Norway
- Singapore
- Sweden
- Switzerland

AA+

- Finland
- U.K.
- U.S.A.

AA

- Abu Dhabi (UAE)
- France
- Qatar

AA-

- Belgium

APPENDIX 4**Treasury Management Role of the Section 151 Officer****The S151 Officer (Director of Corporate Services)**

- recommending clauses, Treasury Management policy / practices for approval, reviewing the same regularly, and monitoring compliance;
- submitting regular Treasury Management policy reports;
- submitting budgets and budget variations;
- receiving and reviewing management information reports;
- reviewing the performance of the Treasury Management function;
- ensuring the adequacy of Treasury Management resources and skills, and the effective division of responsibilities within the Treasury Management function;
- ensuring the adequacy of internal audit, and liaising with external audit;
- recommending the appointment of Treasury Management external service providers.
- Approval of appropriate money market funds for the Council to invest in.

APPENDIX 5

LONDON BOROUGH OF MERTON
TREASURY MANAGEMENT PRACTICES 2017/18

TMP 1: RISK MANAGEMENT

The Director of Corporate Services – the responsible officer will implement and monitor all arrangements for the identification, management and control of treasury management risk, will report at least annually on the adequacy / suitability thereof, and will report, as a matter of urgency, the circumstances of any actual or likely difficulty in achieving the organisation's objectives in this respect, all in accordance with the procedures set out in TMP6 Reporting requirements and management information arrangements. In respect of each of the following risks, the arrangements which seek to ensure compliance with these objectives are set out in the schedule to this document.

1.1 Credit and Counterparty Risk Management

The Council regards a key objective of its treasury management activities to be the security of the principal sums it invests. Accordingly, it will ensure that its counterparty lists and limits reflect a prudent attitude towards organisations with which funds may be deposited, and will limit its investment activities to the instruments, methods and techniques referred to in TMP4 Approved Instruments Methods and Techniques and listed in the schedule to this document. It also recognises the need to have, and will therefore maintain, a formal counterparty policy in respect of those organisations from which it may borrow, or with whom it may enter into other financing or derivative arrangements.

Policy on the use of credit risk analysis techniques

- The Council will use credit criteria in order to select creditworthy counterparties for placing investments with.
- Credit ratings will be used as supplied from all three rating agencies - Fitch, Moody's and Standard & Poor's.
- Treasury management consultants will provide regular updates of changes to all ratings relevant to the Council.
- The treasury manager will formulate suitable criteria for assessing and monitoring the credit risk of investment counterparties and shall construct a lending list comprising maturity periods, type, group, sector, country and counterparty limits.

1.2 Liquidity Risk Management

The Council will ensure it has adequate, though not excessive cash resources, borrowing arrangements, overdraft or standby facilities to enable it, at all times, to have the level of funds available to it which are necessary for the achievement of its business/service objectives. The Council will only borrow in advance of need where there is a clear business

case for doing so and will only do so for the current capital programme or to finance future debt maturities.

The treasury management team shall seek to minimise the balance held in the Council's main bank accounts at the close of each working day. Borrowing or lending shall be arranged in order to achieve this aim. At the end of each financial day any unexpected surplus funds are transferred to the main bank account.

Bank overdraft arrangements – A £1 million net overdraft at 2% over base rate on debit balances has been agreed as part of the banking services contract. The overdraft is assessed on a group basis for the Council's accounts. Separate facilities are available for the Pension Fund bank account.

a. Short-term borrowing facilities

The Council accesses temporary loans through approved brokers on the London money market.

b. Special payments

Where an urgent clearing house automated payment system (CHAPS) payment is required, a CHAPS payment request form must be completed and forwarded to the Head of Transactional Services who then checks for correct required signatures and supporting paperwork. Further guidance can be found on the Council's intranet.

c. Inter account transfer

From time to time, transactions occur between the Pension Fund and the Council. Reimbursement where necessary is by inter-account transfers between both bank accounts.

1.3 Interest Rate Risk Management and use of Derivatives

The Council will manage its exposure to fluctuations in interest rates with a view to containing its interest costs, or securing its interest revenues, in accordance with the amounts provided in its budgetary arrangements as amended in accordance with TMP6 Reporting requirements and management information arrangements. It will achieve this by the prudent use of its approved financing and investment instruments, methods and techniques, primarily to create stability and certainty of costs and revenues, but at the same time retaining a sufficient degree of flexibility to take advantage of unexpected, potentially advantageous changes in the level or structure of interest rates. This should be the subject to the consideration and, if required, approval of any policy or budgetary implications.

The Council does not use derivatives, the Council's S151 Officer will ensure that any hedging tools such as derivatives are only used for the management of risk and the prudent management of financial affairs and that the policy for the use of derivatives when used will be clearly stated to members. The treasury management strategy has full details of interest rate exposure limits.

Policies concerning the use of instruments for interest rate management.

- **Forward Dealing**
Consideration will be given to dealing for forward periods depending on market conditions. When forward dealing is more than a 364 day period forward, the approval of the Director of Corporate Services is required.
- **Callable Deposits**
The council may use callable deposits as part as of its Annual Investment Strategy (AIS). The credit criteria and maximum periods are set out in the Schedule of Specified and Non Specified Investments appended to the AIS.

Policy on Use of Lender's Option Borrower's Option (LOBO) Loans

LOBOs give the lender the option to propose an increase in the interest rate at pre-determined dates, and the borrower, the option to accept the new rate **or** redeem the loan without penalty.

Use of LOBOs is considered as part of the Council's annual borrowing strategy. All long-term borrowing must be approved by the S151 Officer.

1.4 Exchange Rate Risk Management

Occasionally, the Council has to make foreign exchange payments, the Council will manage its exposure to fluctuations in exchange rates so as to minimise any detrimental impact on its budgeted income/expenditure.

1.5 Refinancing Risk Management

The Council will ensure that its borrowing, private financing and partnership arrangements are negotiated, structured and documented, and the maturity profile of the monies raised are managed, with a view to obtaining offer terms at renewal or refinancing, if required, which are competitive and as favourable to the organisation as can reasonably be achieved in the light of market conditions prevailing at the time.

The Council will actively manage the relationships with counterparties in such a manner as to secure the above objective, and will avoid overreliance on any one source of funding if this might jeopardise achievement of the above.

The Council will establish through its Prudential and Treasury Indicators the amount of debt maturing in any year. Any debt rescheduling will be considered when the difference between the refinancing rate and the redemption rate is most advantageous and the situation will be continually monitored in order to take advantage of any perceived anomalies in the yield curve. The reasons for rescheduling include:

- a) to generate cash savings at minimum risk;
- b) to reduce the average interest rate; and
- c) to amend the maturity profile and/or the balance of volatility of the debt portfolio

Any rescheduling will be reported to the Council at the meeting immediately following the action.

1.6 Legal and Regulatory Risk Management

The Council will ensure that all of its treasury management activities comply with its statutory powers and regulatory requirements. It will demonstrate such compliance, if required to do so, to all parties with whom it deals in such activities. In framing its credit and counterparty policy under TMP1 1.1 Credit and Counterparty Risk Management, it will ensure that there is evidence of counterparties powers, authority and compliance in respect of the transactions they may effect with the organisation, particularly with regard to duty of care and fees charged.

The Council recognises that future legislative or regulatory changes may impact on its treasury management activities and, so far as it is reasonably able to do so, will seek to minimise the risk of these impacting adversely on the organisation.

The Council will ensure that its treasury management activities comply fully with legal statute, guidance, Codes of Practice and the regulations of the Council.

The Council's powers to borrow and invest are contained in the Local Government Act 2003, section 12 and Local Government Act 2003, section 1. The treasury management scheme of delegation is contained in the Corporate Services Scheme of Delegation. This document contains the officers who are authorised signatories. The Council's monitoring officer is the Assistant Director Corporate Resources while the S151 Officer is the Director of Corporate Services.

1.7 Fraud, Error and Corruption, and Contingency Management

Treasury tasks are segregated and adequate internal checks have been implemented to minimise risks and fraud. Procedures are documented and staff will not be allowed to take up treasury management activities until they have had proper training and are subject to an adequate and appropriate level of supervision.

Records will be maintained of all treasury management transactions so that there is a full audit trail and evidence of the appropriate checks being carried out. Periodic backups will be made to ensure contingency of systems is available.

Details of Systems and Procedures to be Followed, Including Internet Services

The Council uses Logotech Treasury systems as its treasury management recording tool.

- The Corporate Services Scheme of Delegation sets out the delegation of duties to officers and the Council's constitution details delegated authority of treasury management to the Section 151 Officer.
- All loans and investments are negotiated by the Treasury Manager or other authorised persons.
- All long-term loans must be authorised by the Section 151 Officer.

1.8 Market Risk Management

The Council will seek to ensure that its stated treasury management policies and objectives will not be compromised by adverse market fluctuations in the value of the principal sums it invests, and will accordingly seek to protect it from the effects of such fluctuations. This is controlled mainly by setting limits on investment instruments where the principal value can fluctuate. The limits are detailed in the Treasury Management Strategy

TMP 1: SCHEDULE 1 – SPECIFIED AND NON SPECIFIED INVESTMENTS

This is included in the Treasury Management Strategy.

TMP 2: PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT

2.1 Evaluation and Review of Treasury Management Decisions

Periodic Review During the Financial Year

The Director of Corporate Services will hold treasury management review meetings with the Treasury Manager, periodically or as required to review actual activity against the Treasury Management Strategy Statement (TMSS) and cashflow forecasts. This will include:

- Total debt (both on-and off- balance sheet) including average rate and maturity profile.
- Total investments including average rate and maturity profile and changes to the above from the previous review and against the TMSS.
- Cashflow forecast against the actual.

Annual Review After the end of the Financial Year

Annual Treasury Report will be submitted to the Full Council each year after the close of the financial year.

Comparative Review

Each year or on a quarterly basis, comparative review is undertaken to see how the Council's performance on debt and investments compares to other authorities with similar size portfolios (but allowing for the fact that Prudential and Treasury Indicators are set locally). Such reviews are: -

- CIPFA Treasury Management statistics published each year for the last complete financial year
- CIPFA Benchmarking Club
- CIPFA Risk Study
- Other

2.2 Benchmarks and Calculation Methodology

2.2.1 Debt management

- Average rate on all external debt
- Average rate on external debt borrowed in previous financial year
- Average period to maturity of external debt
- Average period to maturity of new loans in previous year

2.2.2 Investment

The performance of investment earnings will be measured against any of the following benchmarks:

- In-house benchmark and when necessary other benchmarks such as Bank of England base rate, 7-day LIBID un compounded, 7-day LIBID compounded weekly, 1-month LIBID and 3-month LIBID compounded quarterly

Performance will also be measured against other local authority funds with similar benchmark and parameters managed by other fund managers using the CIPFA treasury management benchmark service.

2.3 Policy Concerning Methods for Testing Value-for-money in Treasury Management

The process for advertising and awarding contracts will be in-line with the Council's Contract Standing Orders and procurement guidelines.

2.3.1 Money-broking Services

From time to time, the Council will use money-broking services in order to make deposits or to borrow, and will establish charges for all services prior to using them. An approved list of firm of brokers is maintained by the Treasury Manager. The list takes account of both prices and quality of service. No firm of brokers will be given undue preference.

2.3.2 Consultants / Advisers Services

The Council's treasury management adviser is Capita Asset Services.

TMP 3: DECISION-MAKING AND ANALYSIS

3.1 Funding, Borrowing, Lending, and New Instruments/Techniques

3.1.1 Records to be kept

The following records will be retained:

- Daily cash balance forecasts for the day and previous day
- Money market deal booking and deal approval confirmation emails
- Dealing slips for all investment and borrowing transactions
- Brokers' confirmations for all investment and temporary borrowing transactions made through brokers

- Confirmations from borrowing / lending institutions including money market fund portals
- PWLB loan confirmations
- PWLB interest due schedule
- Certificates for market loans, local bonds and other loans
- Deal confirmation letters for deals over one month
- Banking and other contract documents which the treasury team has responsibility for.

3.1.2 Processes to be pursued

- Cashflow analysis
- Debt and investment maturity analysis
- Ledger/Logotech/Bank reconciliations
- Review of counterparty limits in addition to monitoring of counterparties
- Review of opportunities for debt restructuring
- Review of borrowing requirement to finance capital expenditure (and other forms of financing where those offer value for money)
- Performance information (e.g. monitoring of actuals against budget for debt charges, interest earned, debt management; also monitoring of average pool rate, investment returns, etc)
- Treasury contracts management

3.1.3 Issues to be addressed

3.1.3.1 In respect of all treasury management decisions made the Council will:

- a) Above all be clear about the nature and extent of the risks to which the Council may become exposed
- b) Be certain about the legality of the decision reached and the nature of the transaction, and that all authorities to proceed have been obtained
- c) Be content that the documentation is adequate both to deliver the Council's objectives and protect the Council's interests, and to deliver good housekeeping
- d) Ensure that third parties are judged satisfactory in the context of the council's creditworthiness policies, and that limits have not been exceeded
- e) Be content that the terms of any transactions have been fully checked against the market, and have been found to be competitive; and
- f) Ensure that adequate investigation on security of the Council's funds has been conducted

3.1.3.2 In respect of borrowing and other funding decisions, the Council will:

- a) Consider the ongoing revenue liabilities created, and the implications for the organisation's future plans and budgets
- b) Evaluate the economic and market factors that might influence the manner and timing of any decision to fund

- c) Consider the merits and demerits of alternative forms of funding, including funding from revenue, use of reserves, leasing and private partnerships; and
- d) Consider the alternative interest rate bases available, the most appropriate periods to fund and repayment profiles to use.

3.1.3.3 In respect of investment decisions, the Council will:

- a) Consider the optimum period, in the light of cash flow availability and prevailing market conditions; and
- b) Consider the alternative investment products and techniques available, especially the implications of using any which may expose the Council to changes in the value of its capital

TMP 4: APPROVED INSTRUMENTS, METHODS AND TECHNIQUES

4.1 Approved Activities of the Treasury Management Operation

- Borrowing;
- Lending;
- Debt repayment and rescheduling;
- Consideration, approval and use of new financial instruments and treasury management techniques;
- Managing the underlying risk associated with the Council's capital financing and surplus funds activities;
- Managing cash flow;
- Banking activities;
- Use of external fund managers (other than Pension Fund)
- Leasing;
- Undertaking all treasury management activities for the Pension Fund including its strategy setting.

4.2 Approved Instruments for Investments

English and Welsh authorities: The Annual Investment Strategy has a list of approved instruments.

4.3 Approved Techniques

- Forward dealing
- LOBOs – Lender's Option, Borrower's Option borrowing instrument
- Structured products such as callable deposits

4.4 Approved Methods and Sources of Raising Capital Finance

Finance will only be raised in accordance with the Local Government Act 2003 and within this limit the Council has a number of approved methods and sources of raising capital finance. These are:

On Balance Sheet	Fixed	Variable
PWLB	●	●
EIB	●	●
Market (long-term)	●	●
Market (temporary)	●	●
Market (LOBOs)	●	●
Bonds administered by the Municipal Bond Agency	●	●
Stock issues	●	●
Local (temporary)	●	●
Local Bonds	●	
Overdraft		●
Negotiable Bonds	●	●
Internal (capital receipts & revenue balances)	●	●
Commercial Paper	●	
Medium Term Notes	●	
Leasing (not operating leases)	●	●
Deferred Purchase	●	●

Other Methods of Financing

Government and EC Capital Grants
 Lottery monies
 PFI/PPP
 Operating and Finance leases
 Revenue Contributions

Borrowing will only be done in British Pound Sterling. All forms of funding will be considered dependent on the prevailing economic climate, regulations and local considerations. The Director of Corporate Governance has delegated powers in accordance with Financial Regulations, Standing Orders and Scheme of Delegation to Officers to take the most appropriate form of borrowing from the approved sources.

4.5 Investment Limits

The Annual Investment Strategy sets out the limits and the guidelines for use of each type of investment instrument.

4.6 Borrowing Limits

The Treasury Management Strategy Statement and Prudential and Treasury Indicators state all appropriate limits.

TMP 5: ORGANISATION, CLARITY AND SEGREGATION OF RESPONSIBILITIES, AND DEALING ARRANGEMENTS

5.1 Allocation of Responsibilities

(i) Council (Budget)

- Receiving and reviewing reports on treasury management policy, practice and activity; and
- Approval of annual strategy

(ii) Cabinet

- Approval of/amendments to the Council's adopted clauses, treasury management policy statement and treasury management practice;
- Budget consideration and approval;
- Approval of the division of responsibilities; and
- Receiving and reviewing regular monitoring reports and acting on recommendations.

(iii) Overview and Scrutiny Commission (Financial Monitoring Task Group)

- Reviewing all treasury management reports and making recommendations to the Cabinet

5.2 Principles and Practices Concerning Segregation of Duties

5.2.1 The following duties are undertaken by separate officers: -

Tasks	Duties	Responsible Officer
Dealing	• Negotiation and approval of deal	Treasury manager
	• Entering of deal into Logotech	Treasury manager/ Fund officer
	• Sending confirmation letter to counterparty (to be signed by authorised signatory)	Treasury manager/Fund officer
	• Checking of brokers and counterparty confirmation notes against Logotech	Fund officer
	• Reconciliation of FMIS Codes and reconciliation to bank statement	Fund officer Treasury manager
	• Sign off of reconciliations	Fund officer

Accounting Entry	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Processing of accounting entry into FMIS (bank reconciliation team) 	Bank reconciliation team
Authorisation / Payment of Deal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inputting CHAPS on Lloyds link Approval of CHAPS on Lloyds link and CHAPS form authorisation 	Treasury manager/Fund officer
		Authorisers per bank mandate

5.3 Statement of the Treasury Management Duties/Responsibilities of Each Treasury Post

5.3.1 Responsible Officer

The Responsible Officer is the person charged with professional responsibility for the treasury management function and in this Council it is the Director of Corporate Services and is also the S151 Officer. This person or delegated persons will carry out the following duties: -

- a) Recommending clauses, treasury management policy / practices for approval, reviewing the same regularly, and monitoring compliance
- b) Submitting regular treasury management policy reports
- c) Submitting budgets and budget variations
- d) Receiving and reviewing management information reports
- e) Reviewing the performance of the treasury management function
- f) Ensuring the adequacy of treasury management resources and skills, and the effective division of responsibilities within the treasury management function
- g) Ensuring the adequacy of internal audit, and liaising with external audit
- h) Recommending the appointment of external service providers.
- i) The Responsible Officer has delegated powers through this policy to take the most appropriate form of borrowing from the approved sources, and to make the most appropriate form of investments in approved instruments.
- j) The Responsible Officer may delegate her power to borrow and invest to members of her staff. The Treasury Manager, the fund officer. Treasury management team staff must conduct all dealing transactions, or staff authorised by the responsible officer to act as temporary cover for leave / sickness.
- k) The Responsible Officer will ensure that Treasury Management Policy is adhered to, and if not will bring the matter to the attention of elected members as soon as possible.
- l) Prior to entering into any capital financing, lending or investment transaction, it is the responsibility of the responsible officer to be satisfied, by reference to the Council's legal department and external advisors as appropriate, that

the proposed transaction does not breach any statute, external regulation or the Council's Financial Regulations

- m) It is also the responsibility of the responsible officer to ensure that the council complies with the requirements of The Non-Investment Products Code (formerly known as The London Code of Conduct) for principals and broking firms in the wholesale markets.

5.3.2 Treasury Manager

The responsibilities of this post will be: -

- a) Drafting the treasury management strategy and annual report
- b) Execution of transactions
- c) Adherence to agreed policies and practices on a day-to-day basis
- d) Maintaining relationships with counterparties and external service providers
- e) Supervising treasury management staff
- f) Monitoring performance on a day-to-day basis
- g) Submitting management information reports to the Responsible Officer; and
- h) Identifying and recommending opportunities for improved practices

5.3.3 Head of the Paid Service – the Chief Executive

The responsibilities of this post will be: -

- a) Ensuring that the system is specified and implemented; and
- b) Ensuring that the Responsible Officer reports regularly to the full Council / Cabinet or General Purpose Committee on treasury policy, activity and performance.

5.3.4 Monitoring Officer

The responsibilities of this post will be: -

- a) Ensuring compliance by the Responsible Officer with the treasury management policy statement and treasury management practice and that they comply with the law
- b) Being satisfied that any proposal to vary treasury policy or practice complies with law or any code of practice; and
- c) Giving advice to the Responsible Officer when advice is sought

5.3.5 Internal Audit

The responsibilities of Internal Audit will be: -

- a) Reviewing compliance with approved policy and treasury management practice
- b) Reviewing division of duties and operational practice
- c) Assessing value for money from treasury activity; and
- d) Undertaking probity audit of the treasury function

5.4 Absence Cover Arrangements

Cover for treasury management staff will be to specific delegated staff.

5.5 Dealing Limits

- No investment deal must exceed £5million per transaction
- No borrowing deal at any point in time must exceed £10 million except when existing loans are being repaid.

5.6 List of Approved Brokers

A list of approved brokers is maintained by the Treasury team and a record of all transactions conducted with them can be obtained from Logotech.

Policy on Brokers' Services

It is the Council's policy to rotate business between brokers.

5.7 Policy on Taping of Conversations

The Council currently does not tape conversations with brokers **but** ensures that confirmations are received from counterparties.

5.8 Direct Dealing Practices

The Council will deal direct with counterparties if it is appropriate and the Council believes that better terms will be available. There are certain types of accounts and facilities, however, where direct dealing is required, as follows;

- Business Reserve Accounts
- Call Accounts
- Money Market Funds
- Gilt/CD purchase via custodian; and
- Fixed period account e.g. 15-day fixed period account

5.9 Settlement Transmission Procedures

A confirmation letter signed by an authorised signatory per the Council's bank mandate must be sent to the counterparty if the deal period exceeds one month. Copy of forms folder located in H:/techaccy/treasury/Daily Treasury for PF

For payments, any transfer to be made via Lloyds link CHAPS system must be completed by 2.00 p.m. on the same day to ensure it is authorised. Money market funds may have earlier cut-off time/deadlines.

5.10 Documentation Requirements

For each deal undertaken, a record should be prepared giving details of dealer, amount, period, counterparty, interest rate, dealing date, payment date(s), broker and confirmation fax, email or letter.

5.11 Arrangements Concerning the Management of Third-Party Funds.

The Council holds a number of trust funds, appointeeship and custody bank accounts. The cash in respect of these funds is held in the Council's bank account but transactions are separately coded.

TMP 6: REPORTING REQUIREMENTS AND MANAGEMENT INFORMATION ARRANGEMENTS

6.1 Annual Treasury Management Strategy Statement

1. The Treasury Management Strategy Statement sets out the specific expected treasury activities for the forthcoming financial year. This strategy will be submitted the cabinet and then to the Council (budget) for approval before the commencement of each financial year.
2. The formulation of the annual Treasury Management Strategy Statement involves determining the appropriate borrowing and investment decisions in the light of the anticipated movement in both fixed and shorter-term variable interest rates. For instance, this council may decide to postpone borrowing if fixed interest rates are expected to fall, or borrow early if fixed interest rates are expected to rise.
3. The Treasury Management Strategy Statement is concerned with the following elements:
 - a) Prudential and Treasury Indicators
 - b) Current Treasury portfolio position
 - c) Borrowing requirement
 - d) Prospects for interest rates
 - e) Borrowing strategy
 - f) Policy on borrowing in advance of need
 - g) Debt rescheduling
 - h) Investment strategy
 - i) Creditworthiness policy
 - j) Policy on the use of external service providers
 - k) Any extraordinary treasury issue
 - l) MRP strategy
4. The Treasury Management Strategy Statement will establish the expected move in interest rates against alternatives.

6.2 Annual Investment Strategy Statement

At the same time as the Council receives the Treasury Management Strategy Statement it will also receive a report on the Annual Investment Strategy which will set out the following: -

- a) The Council's risk appetite in respect of security, liquidity and optimum performance
- b) Which specified and non specified instruments the Council will use
- c) The Council's policy on the use of credit ratings and other credit risk analysis techniques to determine creditworthy counterparties for its approved lending list
- d) Which credit rating agencies the Council will use
- e) How the Council will deal with changes in ratings, rating watches and rating outlooks

- f) Limits for individual counterparties and group limits
- g) Country limits
- h) Levels of cash balances
- i) Interest rate outlook
- j) Budget for investment earnings
- k) Policy on the use of external service providers

6.3 Annual Minimum Revenue Provision Statement

This statement sets out how the Council will make revenue provision for repayment of its borrowing using the four options for so doing and will be submitted at the same time as the Annual Treasury Management Strategy Statement.

6.4 Policy on Prudential and Treasury Indicators

1. The Council approves before the beginning of each financial year a number of treasury limits which are set through Prudential and Treasury Indicators.
2. The Responsible Officer is responsible for incorporating these limits into the Annual Treasury Management Strategy Statement, and for ensuring compliance with the limits. Should it prove necessary to amend these limits, the Responsible Officer shall submit the changes for approval to the full Council.

6.5 Other Reporting

- Annual report on treasury management activity
- Other management information reports

TMP 7: BUDGETING, ACCOUNTING AND AUDIT ARRANGEMENTS

7.1 Statutory / Regulatory Requirements

The accounts are drawn up in accordance with IFRS. The Council has adopted in full the principles set out in CIPFA's 'Treasury Management in the Public Services - Code of Practice' (the 'CIPFA Code'), together with those of its specific recommendations that are relevant to the Council's treasury management activity.

TMP 8: CASH AND CASHFLOW MANAGEMENT

8.1 Arrangements for Preparing Cashflow

Cashflow projections are prepared annually, monthly and daily. The annual and monthly cash flow projections are prepared from the previous year's cashflow records, adjusted for known changes in levels of income and expenditure, new grant allocations and changes in payments and receipts dates. These details are supplemented on an ongoing basis by information received of new or revised

amounts to be paid or received as and when they are known. Logotech is used to record cashflow.

8.2 Bank Statements Procedures

The Council receives daily bank statements on a daily basis, download into the folder below. Estimates on Logotech cashflow is updated with actuals from bank statement.
H:\TECHACCY\TREASURY\Daily Treasury for GF General Fund Daily

TMP 9: MONEY LAUNDERING

9.1 Proceeds of Crime Act 2002 and Amendments

See Council's website and intranet for money laundering process and associated policies

http://intranet/anti_money_laundering_policy.pdf

9.2 The Terrorism Act 2000 and Amendment order

See Council's website and staff intranet on policy. Staff should note that all individuals and businesses in the UK have an obligation to report knowledge, reasonable grounds for belief or suspicion about the proceeds from, or finance likely to be used for, terrorism or its laundering, where it relates to information that comes to them in the course of their business or employment.

9.3 The Money Laundering Regulations 2007 and Updates

The Council's money laundering officer is the Head of Audit. See Council's website and intranet for details http://intranet/anti_money_laundering_policy.pdf

Treasury management and banking staff are required to familiarise themselves with all money laundering regulations.

9.4 Procedures for Establishing Identity / Authenticity of Lenders

It is not a requirement under Proceeds of Crime Act (POCA) for local authorities to require identification from every person or organisation it deals with. However, in respect of treasury management transactions, the Council does not accept loans from individuals except during a bond issue.

All loans are obtained from the PWLB, other local authorities or from authorised institutions under the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000. This register can be accessed through the FCA website on www.fca.gov.uk.

9.5 Methodologies for identifying Deposit Takers

Other than those organisations mentioned in para section 6.10 and Appendix 2 of the treasury strategy, in the course of its Treasury activities, the Council will only lend money to or invest with those counterparties that are on its approved lending list.

These will be local authorities, the PWLB, Bank of England and authorised deposit takers under the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000. The FCA Register can be accessed through their website on www.fca.gov.uk.

All transactions will be carried out by CHAPS, faster payments or BACS for making deposits or repaying loans.

TMP 10: TRAINING AND QUALIFICATIONS

The Council recognises that relevant individuals will need appropriate levels of training in treasury management due to its increasing complexity.

All treasury management staff should receive appropriate training relevant to the requirements of their duties at the appropriate time.

In addition, training may be provided on-the-job, and it is the treasury manager's responsibility to ensure that treasury management staff receive appropriate training.

10.1 Details of Approved Training Courses

Treasury management staff and members will go on courses provided by the Council's treasury management consultants, CIPFA, money brokers etc.

10.2 Records of Training Received by Treasury Staff

Staff will keep records on their training.

10.3 Member Training Record

Member training will be provided as required.

TMP 11: USE OF EXTERNAL SERVICE PROVIDERS

11.1 Details of Contracts with Service Providers, Including Bankers, Brokers, Custodian Banks, Consultants, Advisers

This Council may employ the services of other organisations to assist it in the field of treasury management. However, it will ensure that it fully understands what services are being provided and that they meet the needs of the Council, especially in terms of being objective and free from conflicts of interest.

11.1.1 Banking Services

- a) The Council's supplier of banking services is Lloyds Bank. The bank is an authorised banking institution authorised to undertake banking activities in the UK by the FCA
- b) The branch address is:
Lloyds Banking Group
25 Gresham Street, London
EC2V 7HN

11.1.2 Money-Broking Services

The Council will use money brokers for temporary borrowing and investment and long-term borrowing. It will seek to give an even spread of business amongst the approved brokers.

11.1.3 Consultants'/Advisers' Services

Treasury Consultancy Services

The Council receives mail shots on credit ratings, economic market data and borrowing data. In addition, interest rate forecasts, annual treasury management strategy templates, and from time to time, the Council may receive advice on the timing of borrowing, lending and debt rescheduling. The performance of consultants will be reviewed by the treasury manager to check whether performance has met expectations.

11.1.4 Custodian Banks

The Council will use the services of custodian banks when trading in most transferable instruments like treasury bills. Due procurement process will be followed in the procurement of this service. It should be noted that it is the borrower that pays in most cases and not the lender. Property fund on the other hand do not require custody services, the investor pays all fee.

11.1.5 Credit Rating Information

The Council receives notifications of credit ratings from Capita Asset Services.

11.2 Procedures and Frequency for Tendering Services

See TMP2

TMP 12: CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

12.1 List of Documents to be Made Available for Public Inspection

- a. The Council is committed to the principle of openness and transparency in its treasury management function and in all of its functions.
- b. The Council has adopted the CIPFA Code of Practice on Treasury Management and implemented key recommendations on developing Treasury Management Practices, formulating a Treasury Management Policy Statement and implementing the other principles of the Code.

APPENDIX 6

PRUDENTIAL INDICATORS FOR 2016/17 TO 2019/20

PRUDENTIAL INDICATORS	2016/17 Probable Outturn £'000	2017/18 Forecast £'000	2018/19 Forecast £'000	2019/20 Forecast £'000
1. CAPITAL EXPENDITURE				
a) Capital Expenditure (includes expenditure funded by supported, unsupported borrowing and other sources)				
i) General Fund estimated as at 30/11/16 (Net of Leasing)	31,544	40,259	34,864	16,101
Total as at 30/11/16	31,544	40,259	34,864	16,101
b) In year Capital Financing Requirement (CFR)				
i) General Fund (Gross of MRP costs)	7,154	7,004	6,579	7,634
Total in year CFR	7,154	7,004	6,579	7,634
c) Capital Financing Requirement as at 31 March (Balance Sheet figures)				
i) General Fund (Net of MRP costs)	189,978	181,644	192,997	193,274
Total	189,978	181,644	192,997	193,274

2. AFFORDABILITY				
a) Ratio of Financing Costs to net Revenue Streams				
i) General Fund	11.36%	12.19%	12.17%	14.30%
b) General Fund Impact of Prudential (Unsupported) Borrowing on Band D Council Tax Levels (per annum)				
i) In year Increase	-£38.44	£3.76	-£11.02	£37.15
ii) Cumulative Increase (includes MRP costs)		-£34.68	-£45.7	-£8.55
3. LONG-TERM EXTERNAL DEBT				
a) Debt Brought Forward 1 April	116,976	113,010	113,010	113,010
Debt Carried Forward 31 March	116,976	113,010	113,010	113,010
Additional Borrowing	0	(3,966)	0	0
b) Operational Boundary for External Debt (Excludes Revenue Borrowing)				
i) Borrowing	148,989	143,500	142,073	139,792
ii) Other Long-term Liabilities	32,013	30,490	29,063	26,782
c) Total Operating Debt (Excludes Revenue Borrowing)	181,002	173,990	171,136	166,574
Add margin for cashflow contingency	37,987	49,510	50,937	53,218
Affordable Borrowing Limit (Includes Revenue Borrowing)	218,989	223,500	222,073	219,792
Authorised Limit for External Debt (Includes Revenue Borrowing)				
• Borrowing	148,989	143,500	142,073	139,792
• Other Long-term Liabilities	70,000	80,000	80,000	80,000

Authorised Borrowing Limit	218,989	223,500	222,073	219,792
4. TREASURY MANAGEMENT				
a) Borrowing Limit – Upper Limit for Fixed Interest Rate Exposure Expressed as: Net Principal re Fixed Rate Borrowing/Investments	218,989	223,500	222,073	219,792
b) Borrowing Limit – Upper Limit for Variable Interest Rate Exposure Expressed as a %: Net Principal re Variable Rate Borrowing/Investments	50%	50%	50%	50%
c) Lending Limit – Upper Limit for Total Principal Sums Invested for Over 364 Days Expressed as a % of Total Investments	50%	50%	50%	50%
d) Maturity Structure of new Fixed Rate Borrowing, if Taken During 2017/18	LOWER LIMIT		UPPER LIMIT	
i) Under 12 Months		0		10%
ii) 12 Months to 24 Months		0		20%
iii) 24 Months to 5 Years		0		30%
iv) 5 Years to 10 Years		0		40%
v) 10 Years and Above		0		100%

APPENDIX 7

GLOSSARY OF TREASURY MANAGEMENT TERMS**Accrued Interest**

Any interest that has accrued since the initial purchase or since the last coupon payment date, up to the date of sale/purchase

Basis Point

One hundredth of 1% e.g. 0.01%

Certificate of Deposit (CD)

A Tradable form of fixed deposit. They can be sold before maturity via the secondary market at a rate that is negotiable. Often issued by banks and Building Societies in any period from 1 month to 5 years.

Coupon

The total amount of interest a security will pay on a yearly basis. The coupon payment period depends on the security.

Covered Bond

Covered bonds are conventional bonds (fixed or floating) issued by financial institutions that are backed by a separate group of loans, usually prime residential mortgages or public sector loans.

Credit Rating

A measure of credit worthiness of a borrower. A credit rating can be assigned to a country, organisation or specific debt issue/ financial obligation. There are a number of credit ratings agencies but the main 3 are Standard & Poor's, Fitch and Moody's.

Credit risk

This is the risk that the issuer of a security becomes temporarily or permanently insolvent, resulting in its inability to repay the interest or to redeem the bond. The solvency of the issuer may change over time due to various factors.

Debt Management Office (DMO)

Debt Management Office is an executive agency of HM Treasury. They are responsible for debt management in the UK, in the form of issuing Treasury Bills and Gilts.

Financial Strength Rating

Rating criteria used by Moody's ratings agency to measure a bank's intrinsic safety and soundness.

Floating Rate Note (FRN)

An instrument issued by Banks, Building Societies and Supranational organisations which has a coupon that re-sets usually every 3 months. The refix will often be set at a premium to 3 month LIBOR.

Gilt

A UK Government Bond, sterling denominated, issued by HM Treasury

Index Linked Gilts

A government bond issued by the DMO whose coupon and final redemption payment are related to movement in the RPI (Retail Price Index)

Interest Rate Risk

The risk that an investment's value will change due to a change in the absolute level of interest rate. Interest rate risk affects the value of bonds more directly than stocks, and it's a major risk to all bond holders. As interest rates rise, bond prices fall and vice versa. The rationale is that as interest rates increase, the opportunity cost of holding a bond decreases since investors are able to realise greater yields by switching to other investments that reflect the higher interest rate

LIBOR

London Interbank Offered Rate: set on a daily basis. The rate at which banks lend to each other for different periods

Long Term

Duration in excess of 1 year

Net Asset Value (NAV)

Often used when funds or investment assets are valued. This term generally means the total assets less total liabilities.

Premium

The sale/purchase of an asset at a level that is above the par value or original price. If a security is trading at a premium, current market interest rates are likely to be below the coupon rate of the security.

Short Term

Duration of up to 1 year

Support Rating

Fitch Ratings Agency's assessment of extraordinary support given to a financial institution either by the parent and or sovereign.

Supranational Bond

A bond issued by a Supranational organisation (multi-lateral development banks). They are AAA rated organisations in which the share capital is jointly owned and guaranteed by leading developed nations in their respective region.

Treasury Bill (T-Bills)

A Treasury Bills is a short dated instrument issued by HM Treasury. They are issued at a discount, therefore they are not coupon bearing.

Viability Ratings

Assessment of a bank's intrinsic creditworthiness applied by Fitch Ratings Agency. Its aim was to enhance visibility on benefits of support. This replaced the individual ratings.

Yield Curve

The yield curve represents the relationship between yield and maturity. The conventional shape being that as the maturity lengthens, the yield will increase. Each security will have its own yield curve, depending on the yield in every time period available.